Council



Briefing note and supplementary papers

Date: Monday 25 November 2024

Time: **5.00 pm**

Place: Council Chamber - Oxford Town Hall

The Council agenda, reports, this briefing note, and any other supplementary papers should be considered together.

This briefing note forms part of the papers to be considered at the Council meeting. It contains additional information; councillors' questions, public addresses; and amendments to motions.

All papers for this meeting can be accessed through the council's website.

For further information please contact:

Jonathan Malton, Committee and Member Services Manager,

1 01865 529117

democraticservices@oxford.gov.uk

Briefing note

Information for councillors and additional papers to be considered.

Pages 7 - 48 14 **Questions on Notice from Members of Council** Questions on notice from councillors received in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 11.11(b). Questions on notice may be asked of the Lord Mayor, a Member of the Cabinet or a Chair of a Committee. One supplementary question may be asked at the meeting. The full text of questions must have been received by the Head of Law and Governance by no later than 1.00pm on Wednesday, 13th November 2024. These, and written responses where available, will be published in the briefing note. 49 - 54 15 Public addresses and questions that do not relate to matters for decision at this Council meeting This item will be taken at or shortly after 7.00pm Public addresses and questions to the Leader or other Cabinet member received in accordance with Council Procedure Rules in the Constitution and not relating to matters for decision in Part 1 of this agenda. Up to five minutes is available for each public address and up to three minutes for each question. Questions must be less than 200 words. The request to speak accompanied by the full text of the address or question must be received by the **Head of Law and Governance** by 5.00 pm on Tuesday, 19th November 2024. The briefing note will contain the text of addresses and questions

Petition submitted in accordance with Council procedure rules - Establish a Children's Playground in Oxford City

submitted by the deadline, and written responses where available.

A total of 45 minutes is available for both public speaking items.

55 - 56

Centre

The petition organiser may address Council upon the petition for up to 5 minutes at the start of this item.

Council is asked to consider a petition meeting the criteria for debate under the Council's petitions scheme.

The full text of the petition is contained in the accompanying report. If a Member wishes to put a substantive motion/recommendation on a petition they must submit this by 10am on the working day before the full Council meeting (Friday 22 November 2024). These are then published in the Council briefing note. Any amendments to these must be submitted by 11am on the day of the meeting (Monday 25 November 2024).

If no substantive motion is agreed, Council is asked to note the petition.

19 Motions on notice November 2024

This item has a time limit of 60 minutes.

Motions received by the Head of Law and Governance in accordance with the rules in Section 11 of the Constitution by the deadline of 1.00pm on Wednesday, 13th November 2024 are listed below.

Cross party motions are taken first. Motions will then be taken in turn from the *Green Group, Independent Oxford Alliance, Oxford Community Independent Group, Oxford Independent Group, Real Independent Group, Labour Group, Liberal Democrat Group* in that order.

Substantive amendments to these motions must be sent by councillors to the Head of Law and Governance by no later than 10.00am on Friday, 22nd November 2024 so that they may be circulated with the briefing note.

Minor technical or limited wording amendments may be submitted during the meeting but must be written down and circulated.

Council is asked to consider the following motions:

- a) Making Oxford a Truly Walkable City (proposed by Cllr. Max Morris, Seconded by Cllr. Emily Kerr)
- b) Zero Emission Zone (proposed by Cllr. David Henwood, Seconded by Cllr. Ian Yeatman)
- c) Promoting Free Shuttle Buses for Oxford (proposed by Cllr. Edward Mundy, Seconded by Cllr. Hosnieh Djafari-Marbini)
- d) Impacts of Traffic filter on small businesses in Oxford (Proposed by Cllr. Saj Malik, Seconded by Cllr. Mohammed Azad)
- e) Keep Oxfordshire's Street Lights On (Proposed by Cllr. Lubna Arshad, Seconded by Cllr Jemima Hunt)
- f) A City Centre Play Park for Children (Proposed by Cllr. Katherine

57 - 82

- Miles, Seconded by Cllr. Emily Kerr)
- g) Drug Consumption Rooms (Proposed by Cllr. Chris Jarvis, Seconded by Cllr. Max Morris)
- h) Decent and Genuinely Affordable Housing for All (Proposed by Cllr. Linda Smith, Seconded by Cllr. Ed Turner)

This briefing note is published as a supplement to the agenda and should be considered along with the agenda; reports; and other supplementary papers.





To: Council

Date: 25 November 2024

Report of: Head of Law and Governance

Title of Report: Questions on Notice from members of Council and

responses from the Cabinet Members and Leader

Introduction

Questions submitted by members of Council to the Cabinet members and Leader of the Council, by the deadline in the Constitution are listed below in the order they will be taken at the meeting.

Responses are included where available.

Questioners can ask one supplementary question of the Cllr answering the original question.

This report will be republished after the Council meeting to include supplementary questions and responses as part of the minutes pack.

Unfamiliar terms may be briefly explained in footnotes.

Questions and responses

Cabinet Member for Partnership Working; Leader of the Council

| SB1: From Cllr Henwood to Cllr Brown – Failed Local Plan Cost | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Question | Written Response | | |
| How much did the failed Oxford local Plan cost? | The City Council takes a very cost- effective approach to producing Local Plans. Almost all the work is produced in-house. External consultants are only contracted for limited pieces of work where they are not possible to complete without specialist expertise. We also seek to jointly commission work with | | |

other parties to share the cost wherever possible.

The vast majority of the work which was carried out for the 2040 Local Plan continues to be helpful and relevant and will be reused in future work producing a Local Plan. It is also important to other projects such as revising the CIL Charging Schedule, enabling us to secure increased funding towards important infrastructure needs in the city.

The spend involved in holding the unsuccessful examination was £41,000, including venue and Inspector's costs.

The budget for the Local Plan production is an annual £100k; this is a very small budget compared to what other councils spend and represents good value for money.

SB2: From Cllr Henwood to Cllr Brown – Inspectorate's Connectivity Failure Claim

Question

The planning inspectorate cited in their report a failure not just in communication, but also poor connectivity. Do you agree with the inspectorates claim?

Written Response

The Planning Inspectors do not use the words "connectivity" or "connect" in their letter to the City Council. We did not hold any examination hearings sessions on infrastructure or transport matters, so these were not discussed in any detail.

SB3: From Cllr Yeatman to Cllr Brown - Elderly in Winter

Question

The County Council recently passed a Conservative Party motion to strengthen support to low income pensioners despite Labour objections. Will the City Council now be working with the County Council to support the elderly this winter?

Written Response

The City Council will be working with the County Council to support the elderly this winter via shared campaigns and as well as using support from the Household Support Fund. This will help those on low income to be able to keep warm over the winter. We will be using data that we hold from the revenues and benefits team to reach those on low incomes and those who lost the winter fuel payment.

SB4: From Cllr Yeatman to Cllr Brown – Four-Day Working Week

Question

The door has been opened nationally by the new Labour government for public sector workers to move to a four-day week. Is this something that this council will be considering?

Written Response

We have been watching South Cambridgeshire's evaluation of the impact of a four-day working week and continue to do so. We have however no current plans to make such a change.

SB5: From Cllr Powell to Cllr Brown - Postal Delays in East Oxford

Question

Can the Leader please provide an update on attempts the council has made to push Royal Mail to address ongoing issues with postal delays in East Oxford?

Written Response

I wrote to the Royal Mail chief executive on this matter this month. Separately, I've also publicly expressed our concern over the proposed closure of the St Aldates post office (separate businesses these days but still a vital part of our local postal service).

I'm also hugely grateful for the work that Anneliese Dodds MP has done in highlighting the shortcomings of our postal service on the national and local stage and am aware that she is also still working hard to get a commitment to an improved service.

Oxford's residents and businesses, and the Council itself, rely on timely and reliable postal services for daily operations and personal needs. Unfortunately, significant delays, incorrectly delivered, and inconsistent service, are the experience of many of our local residents, causing at best inconvenience and frustration and at worst, missed appointments and extra charges for late payments.

Alas, I think we're all aware that these are national issues and not just confined to Oxford. Oxford's citizens deserve a

| better service. |
|-----------------|
| |
| |
| |

SB6: From Cllr Powell to Cllr Brown – Process of Equalities Impact Assessments

Question

Can the portfolio holder outline the process for carrying out Equalities Impact Assessments for events hosted by third parties but requiring permission from the council?

Written Response

All events on council land are sent out to consultation with statutory agencies as well as key community stakeholders e.g. resident associations and friends of parks groups. Feedback regarding any equalities impacts they may have done would be taken into consideration and mitigations agreed prior to confirming event permits.

SB7: From Cllr Powell to Cllr Brown – Noise Complaint Forms

Question

How are noise and other complaints from one event factored into applications to run the same event in subsequent years?

Written Response

Any complaint which we receive about an event (including noise) is kept on file for that event and discussed in debriefs. The team works with event organisers to ensure mitigations for lessons learned so that they are implemented for events in future years.

Cabinet Member for Finance and Asset Management; Deputy Leader of the Council

| ET1: From CIIr Miles to CIIr Turner – Flood Risk Council Owned Properties | | |
|---|---|--|
| Question | Written Response | |
| How many council-owned commercial | We do not hold records of flood risk in | |

and residential properties respectively are at high flood risk within the city and What insurance does the council have in place to manage the financial risk of flooding in these properties?

relation to Council-owned buildings. The Council holds property insurance and in the event of damage by flood, the Council would claim for damages against its insurance policy in the normal way.

ET2: From Cllr Rehman to Cllr Turner - Land on Foxwell Drive

Question

Concerning the land transaction at Land on Foxwell Drive, what benefit does council have in entering an option agreement at this stage?

Written Response

This is very much the standard time to enter into this agreement which is commercially advantageous to the council as landowner. The timing gives certainty to the terms of a transaction in the future.

ET3: From Cllr Rehman to Cllr Turner – Oxpens River Bridge Review

Question

Permission to bring a judicial review has been granted for the Oxpens river bridge project, whilst there will be no comment on the project during the undertaking of the review. What if any implications are there for the grant funding?

Written Response

The Council is currently working to understand the costs of the delay and working with funders to secure additional funding if needed.

Cabinet Member for Zero Carbon Oxford; Deputy Leader of the Council

AR1: From Cllr Henwood to Cllr Railton - Smoke Control Area Enforcement

Question

Oxford City Council has recently informed residents of the expansion of the Oxford Smoke-Controlled Area and cites the burning of wood burners and open fires. How will Oxford City Council manage and enforce the smoke-controlled area, in particular in relation to boat dwellers who depend on wood burners and school with biomass boilers

Written Response

Enforcement of the Smoke –Controlled Area is undertaken by the Council's environmental health team – typically in response to an official smoke nuisance complaint.

Biomass is not classed as an authorised fuel for use within SCAs, so biomass boilers need to follow the same Smoke

| which may burn wood pallets? | Control Area rules that are in place for wood burning stoves. | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| | Moored vessels are not covered by Smoke Control Area legislation. | |

AR2: From CIIr Henwood to CIIr Railton – Boat Dwellers Gas Switch and Policy Impact

Question

Suppose the city council does decide to enforce the new rules on boat dwellers. In that case, they are likely to switch to heavier propane type gases including LPG as a heating source, indirectly encouraging the use of fossil fuels. Is this policy discriminatory?

Written Response

Moored vessels are not covered by Smoke Control Area legislation.

AR3: From Cllr Henwood to Cllr Railton - Restrictions on Park Fire Pits

Question

The British summer is well known for being short, and unpredictable. Families often have BBQs in warmer weather, will the city council be imposing restrictions on BBQs and the fire pit located in Florence Park?

Written Response

There is no identified demand for BBQs in Florence Park. This may be due to the fact that nearly all the houses in this area have their own gardens. The 'fire pit' lies within the Naturescape enclosure and is only used as part of organised forest school sessions. The Council will continue to impose restrictions on BBQs in parks due to Health and Safety and air quality concerns, but is looking to trial allowing BBQs at Wolvercote.

The Smoke Control Area only covers buildings with chimneys thus BBQs are not included. There already exists legislation to deal with bonfires causing a nuisance which you can read here, but again that does not include BBQs: https://www.oxford.gov.uk/air-quality-management/bonfires

AR4: From Cllr Henwood to Cllr Railton - Clean Air and Traffic Congestion

Question

The city councils letter states this is being done so residents can breathe "breathe clean air". How does the council justify this when car journeys have become longer, more congested in both the city and in urban areas and when air quality has deteriorated in areas neighbouring LTN scheme. For example "the city councils Cowley Road (James Street) saw the highest increase (35%) in NO2 levels – from 20ug/m3 to 27ug/m3 (a 7ug/m3 increase) This is likely due to vehicles diverted from the surrounding LTNs". Source: Oxford City Council link to OM

Written Response

Overall NO2 levels in Oxford decreased by 14% on average in 2023, compared with a 9% average reduction across the UK. Pollution is now 33% lower compared with pre-pandemic (2019) levels.

The introduction of electric buses across the city, more electric Hackney Carriages, and the expansion of the Smoke-Controlled Areas is expected to continue that trend.

The reference of an increase of 35% of the NO2 levels measured on James St (20 to 27ug/m3) is now outdated as it refers to the year 2022. In fact, the most recent monitoring results (from 2023) show that there was a 20% reduction of the levels measured at that location (the 27 ug/m3 measured in 2022 now reduced to 23 ug/m3 in 2023). 23ug/m3 is also a result that is currently 42.5% below the current legal limit value for NO2 (40 g/m3) and 23.3% below the City's own local annual mean target for this pollutant (30ug/m3), a target that the city has committed to achieve (under its current Air Quality Action Plan) across the city by the end of 2025.

AR5: From Cllr Henwood to Cllr Railton - Trees Felled for Pedestrian Bridge

Question

Is there an update on how many trees have been felled and will be felled at Grandpoint to accommodate the pedestrian 'Oxpens' bridge and Donnington recreation ground to

Written Response

The proposals for the Oxpens River Bridge and path at Donnington support the aim of encouraging more people to walk and cycle and reduce car journeys that currently impact the City. The accommodate the new cycle track?

planning applications for the proposed bridge and the path at Donnington include tree surveys identifying trees to be removed (Arboricultural Statement, Arboricultural Impact Assessment). Both schemes also have a calculation of the canopy cover impacted by the proposals(23_02506_CT3-CANOPY_COVER_ASSESSMENT-3170513.pdf, 22_02446_CT3-PLANNING_STATEMENT-3283250.pdf) and replacement planting (0001_OVERVIEW,B_A0_that ensures canopy cover is maintained in accordance with Oxford Local Plan policy G7.

For the bridge, 31 trees and a part of a woodland group are proposed for removal and replanting includes six native trees to the north and three to the south of the Thames, in addition to 40 feathered trees that will be integrated into the wider landscaping works. The replanting scheme can be found in the planning application ref 23/01155/PDT.

The Donnington cycleway connecting path passes through a tree group in the southwest corner of the site. Four larger trunks and several smaller stems will need to be removed to facilitate the path, and these will be replaced by seven new trees on site and a hedgerow and extra planting offsite. As a result, the Case Officers report concludes that: "the proposal is considered to yield a net gain in canopy cover and would enhance the appearance of the park by the placement of trees in locations where there are currently large gaps in the tree cover." p214, para 10.35 and 10.36 of the Report here. Details of both the onsite and offsite planting can been seen in the planning application ref 22/02446/CT3.

AR6: From Cllr Fouweather to Cllr Railton – Solar Energy

Question

At the Council meeting of July 17th 2023, the Council passed an amended motion (Minute 27) that included the following: Therefore this Council requests that the Head of Corporate Strategy continues to investigate the feasibility of extending solar installations on spaces in Oxford including Park and Rides, and that the Cabinet Member for Zero Carbon Oxford and Climate Justice provide a verbal update at a Council meeting before the end of 2023.

As it is now nearly the end of 2024 can the Cabinet Member explain to the Council why no report has been made as promised and when Councillors can expect to be updated about this important proposal?

Written Response

A <u>paper</u> on the feasibility of extending solar installations on Council car parks was discussed at the Climate & Environment Panel on 20 November.

AR7: From Cllr Rehman to Cllr Railton - Donnington Cycle and Footpath

Question

In relation to the Donnington cycle and footpath proposals, what are the benefits do you see that override local objections?

Written Response

The large majority of local community comments in the recent planning consultation were in support of the scheme, and not objections. The scheme benefits outweighing objections are set out below.

Accessibility improvements - Donnington Recreation ground will be accessible to wheelchair users, parents with prams, and children cycling to school, which it is not currently, and accessible in all weathers.

Increases in children playing sport - The current rut is unsafe, and limits number of pitches available. The new path will enable an increased numbers of children and young people to take part in sport through allowing the marking out of more football pitches. This includes the Donnington U17 team to play on site,

benefitting older teenagers, and reducing pollution from travel to other sites. Also, the club plan to develop more women and girl's football with increased space on pitches

Safer and quicker active travel - an all-weather surface for this Strategic Cycle Route across the Recreation Ground will enabling safe year-round use. This will include local primary aged children being able to cycle to St Mary and St Johns school, further reducing local pollution, improving air quality as well as tying down new healthier lifestyles and travel patterns for families

The scheme will also serve to removing the diagonal rut caused by the current desire line for walkers and cyclists, which is a trip hazard, and which is getting deeper.

AR8: From Cllr Rehman to Cllr Railton - Donnington Cycle Path

Question

What is the estimated cost for this Donnington cycle path project and will the relevant contracts be put out to tender?

Written Response

The Donnington shared cycleway and footway is part of the wider City Cycle Routes programme for which funding has been received to cover the project management, design, and construction of the 4 cycleways, with the Donnington cycle path project having a budget of £431k.

Following due diligence by an independent consultant it was agreed that ODS were competent to carry out the works and were in an acceptable price band. The process conforms to Procurement of ODS for capital programme projects. The decision was taken in accordance with the Council's Contract Rules - Part 19.22(d) of the Constitution A link to the published Officer Decision for the City Cycle Routes contract is here.

| The expected site works cost for the |
|--------------------------------------|
| Donnington cycleway is £259k. |

AR9: From Cllr Rehman to Cllr Railton – Trees felled around Donnington and Grandpont Bridge

Question

The administration sets out its green agenda. Donnington vanity project and Grandpont bridge (trees were felled even before planning permission was granted !!) contradict that. The amount of trees and mature trees removed goes against its own stated objectives.

Removing mature trees and replacing them elsewhere is not the answer for this type of project.

Trees should only be removed as an absolute necessity and for major projects and adequately replaced. How do you justify this against council objectives or are our objectives just to create a cycle paths at any cost to the environment?

Written Response

The proposals at Donnington and Grandpont will comply with Oxford Local Plan policy G7 which ensures that 'any loss of tree canopy cover should be mitigated by the planting of new trees or introduction of additional tree cover (with consideration to the predicted future tree canopy on the site following development)'.

In both schemes efforts have been made through the design to minimise the impact on trees. Where it is essential to remove trees replacement planting is proposed with careful consideration of the locations and species to ensure the tree canopy cover is maintained into the future. (For details see the answer to AR5).

Some trees at Grandpont were removed ahead of the planning determination to avoid disturbance from tree removal during the bird nesting season. The time restrictions attached to the funding for the bridge meant that delaying felling until after planning permission had been granted would have delayed the project and could have impacted the availability of funding.

It was acknowledged at the time that if planning permission was not granted replacement tree planting would be carried out to maintain the tree cover in the area. Planning permission was resolved to be granted in March 2024 and went through the planning review committee in April 2024 before being issued in July 2024. Since then, a JR has been submitted and therefore it has not be possible to proceed with works to the

original programme.

AR10: From Cllr Yeatman to Cllr Railton – Budget of Zero Carbon

Question

Many of the Zero Carbon Council / Net Zero City initiatives are subject to external funding. Are there currently initiatives at risk and are there implications for the councils overall budget as a consequence?

Written Response

There are always risks associated with grant-funded projects, which are set out in project risk registers. Many 'Zero Carbon Council' initiatives are around the installation of energy efficiency measures or solar panels that deliver cost savings or deliver income. The risk to the Council's overall budget associated with completed projects is minimal. However, for projects such as the installation of further electric vehicle charge-points in Council carparks using external LEVI funding, the earnings assumed from these in the Budget will remain at risk until the projects are delivered and the infrastructure in use.

AR11: From CIIr Powell to CIIr Railton - Flooding

Question

Does the portfolio holder think there are lessons that can be learned from the recent flooding in Valencia, Pakistan and elsewhere about climate adaptation and mitigation in Oxford?

Written Response

Flash flooding is a risk associated with concentrated periods of very heavy rainfall. Many scientists suggest that climate change will see more frequent heavy rainfall episodes across the UK, with every degree Celsius that Earth's atmospheric temperature rises, the amount of water vapor in the atmosphere can increase by about 7%.

Oxford suffers from three different forms of flooding:

- surface water (as happened in September)
- groundwater, which effects south & west Oxford (as it did this January)
- fluvial (or river flooding) as we last had in 2014

Different areas of the city suffer from

different types and the response, mitigation & adaption will be different for each.

Oxfordshire County Council is developing a Climate Adaptation Plan for the county with input from Oxford City Council. The County Council has primary responsibility for managing the risk of surface water flooding and the City Council works with them.

Cabinet Member for a Safer Oxford

LA1: From CIIr Rehman to CIIr Arshad – Cross-party Community Engagement Update

Question

Following your response at the previous Council meeting regarding engaging with cross party members to support the community, are there any further updates following on your suggestion of crossparty community engagement?

Written Response

Thank you Cllr Rehman. In your address at Council in October you described the important role local councillors have in engaging with their constituents and understanding their concerns, particularly in light of the disturbances in the summer. In Oxford we have excellent relationships with the police and their Community and Diversity Officer, who played a significant engagement role during that period. The Oxford Council of Faiths, representing faith and civic leaders were also instrumental in promote tolerance and understanding in our city. The mosques and other faith organisations continue to play a central role in their activities. The Council's Locality Manager's also have a key role in community engagement and the officer for East

Oxford is in regular contact with the mosques, and other faith and civic organisations in the area. I suggest the next step is for us and relevant Council officers to discuss where you feel the Council could build on these existing approaches and encourage all of us to continue listening to our communities and feeding back to the police, Council and other agencies.

LA3: From Clir Mundy to Clir Arshad – Safer Oxford

Question

While we do have a defibrillator in Town Hall, and several other locations across the city centre, several defibrillators are in restricted locations and only one defib in the city centre is in a public and always open location (outside The Bear Inn). Does the cabinet member support investigating new potential locations, both within and outside the city centre, for fully publicly accessible defibs?

Written Response

Whilst the Council are not responsible for extending the availability of defibrillators in public spaces, I support this type of initiative that could save lives of people in our city. The Council have recently been working with a local organisation to raise money for the installation of bleed kits in local communities within Oxford, an important related public health intervention.

LA4: From Cllr Mundy to Cllr Arshad - Safer Oxford

Question

The Labour Government's decision to cut the Winter Fuel Payment to non-benefit claiming pensioners is a great worry to many of our elderly residents and their family members. Many who struggle to get by will miss out due to not realising that they are eligible, or otherwise slipping through the cracks. Many of our elderly residents, including those in our own City housing are at risk of isolation, and there is a real risk that they may endure freezing temperatures without being able to fully heat their homes. Does the Cabinet Member know what, or can they get an update on what, Oxford

Written Response

This is not in the remit of the Oxford Community Safety Partnership.

Safer Communities Partnership might be doing to ensure that we are looking out for our elderly? And will our City be highlighting, to the Government, the impact the payment cut has upon our residents?

LA5: From CIIr Kerr to CIIr Arshad - Noise Complaints

Question

Can the portfolio holder explain how noise complaints are recorded, triaged and enforced in the absence of nightline and the noise app, and since the cutting of the out of hours noise service? And are they logged in a central place for analysis?

Written Response

Noise complaints are recorded using an online tool accessible to the complainant called the Noise App. Other methods include keeping a diary of incidents and using calibrated noise recording equipment. The email address for noise complaints is noise@oxford.gov.uk The complaints are reviewed by the Community Response Team if they relate to domestic premises, and by the Business Regulation Team if related to commercial premises. The response time for contacting the complainant is 5 working days. The Council engage with the subject of the complaint and adhere to our ASB Policy when resolving the cases. Some cases may require the use of Community Protection Notices or Noise Abatement Notices.

The data is held centrally and analysed, using a dashboard to identify trends.

Cabinet Member for Citizen Focused Services and Council Companies

NC1: From Cllr Rehman to Cllr Chapman – Community Centre Cafe Plans Question Written Response

Are the plans to place digital cafes at our community centres, similar to what has been launched at Westgate library?

Oxford City Council's face to face customer service operates from the Westgate Library every Monday,

Wednesday and Friday between 10 am and 3pm. On a Monday and Wednesday this space is shared with Citizen Advice Oxford. In addition, the City Council's Customer Service Officers regularly attend community larders across the City. This provides citizens with one-to-one support and direct access to a range of City Council services.

The Digital Café at the Oxford Westgate Library is hosted on the first Monday of every month (2pm-4pm), and allows people who are struggling with, or are unfamiliar with, technology to find help and support with using their mobile, laptop or tablet. The initiative offers people free informal, one to one support around basic digital skills, with access to digital devices and the internet. It encourages and teaches people how to easily use digital technology as part of their everyday life.

The launch of this Digital Café is a collaboration between NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire and Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB ICB), Oxfordshire County Council, and Oxford City Council. There are presently no plans for digital cafés to be rolled out in other locations across the City.

NC2: From Cllr Rehman to Cllr Chapman - Figures Comparison

Question

We are still receiving complaints around fly tipping. What are the current figures and how do they compare to previous years?

Written Response

I share Councillor Rehman's concern about fly tipping in the city which disfigures our neighbourhoods and is illegal. We have seen an increase in the level of fly-tipping incidents across the city in 2024. Between January and October this year – we have recorded 4,422 incidents of fly tipping. This includes everything from a sofa being dumped on the street to a bag of

household or business waste left next to a public litter bin. That is a 9% increase on the same period in 2023. I am working with officers to explore ways in which we can speed up the bulky waste pick up service especially in relation to soft furnishings and make it easier for people to book specific slots online. This will make using the bulky waste service easier and more attractive. We also have a very rapid response to fly tipping once it has been reported to ODS. Whilst we would like to prevent it in the first place, this at least means its antisocial impact is being tackled swiftly.

NC3: From Cllr Yeatman to Cllr Chapman – Review of Glyphosate

Question

Given the concerns raised by local campaigner Chaka Artwell, can we have confirmation that the use of Glyphosate remains under constant review?

Written Response

As I made clear in my published response to Mr Artwell in July, we will keep the use of Glyphosate under periodic review as herbicide manufacturers are working to improve the effectiveness of Glyphosate alternatives; and monitor the regulatory environment closely as this could change at a future point.

NC4: From Cllr Djafari-Marbini to Cllr Chapman – Resurfacing of Pavements

Question

There has been much needed resurfacing of pavements in Northfield Brook and Blackbird levs carried out by a company outsourced via ODS. The resurfacing is full of bumps and cracks in for example Brambling Way and Kestrel Crescent with residents concerned about the risk of falls for the elderly. How will this be addressed and tackled and prevented from occurring again?

Written Response

The works are taking place as part of the S42 Highway agreement where ODS, via Oxford City Council, are responsible for some areas of highway in the city. The same treatments have been used in other areas of the city in recent years, such as around Hollow Way and Cutteslowe. A quality inspection has been undertaken and while the work does not look as visually pleasing as a newly resurfaced footway would it has been done correctly. The material will wear and weather in and in the meantime ODS will monitor it closely. The surface ODS has applied is a thin

veneer overlay to seal and prevent further damage from water ingress. It follows the existing surface, regulating any areas where there were minor depressions in the footways. The product is poured onto the footways and applied with a brush finish. This is why you will see "waves"/ brush marks and a textured finished surface. I have been assured that this does weather over time.

Before and during the works, notices and signs are placed on cones and lamp columns. Unfortunately, some people chose to ignore the signs and advice from the operatives on site and either drove, or walked over the newly laid surface which has marked the surface treatment.

ODS will continue to monitor the area and should any issues arise will liaise with the sub-contractor to revisit.

NC5: From CIIr Djafari-Marbini to CIIr Chapman – Bus Stop Shelters

Question

It took over two years for a bus shelter on knights road damaged to be replaced and it was initially without a seat despite the initial case being raised via a disabled resident who needed a seat to be able to access bus services. There are two further stops on pegasus road without a shelter. Are there equality impact assessments for placement of bus stops without seats or shelters as the impact on elderly and disabled residents and overall bus use is noticeable?

Written Response

The Council has awarded a new contract for the management and installation of bus shelters to Clear Channel. A briefing was held on 9th October for all Councillors to discuss the terms of the proposal prior to awarding the contract. The specification for shelters has been reviewed and, in the future, where appropriate, benches will be installed in new shelters. This assessment will include an equalities assessment, and the base specification requested by the Council does include benches. The stops on Pegasus Road will be reviewed to understand whether shelters can be installed but it will be subject to Planning and Licencing from County.

NC6: From CIIr Rehman to CIIr L Smith - Competitive Tender Approach

Question

ODS is the sole contractor to improve services, and efficiency would a more competitive and open approach be sensible in light of budget pressures by inviting an opportunity for competitors to tender?

Written Response

The Council is always keen to make sure it gets Value for Money for its spend with any supplier. Recently it commissioned an external review of the cost of ODS's council house repairs service to the HRA. This is expected to drive down prices and ensure the HRA can afford to pay for the repairs it needs to fund.

But we need to see the relationship with ODS in the round. It is about far more than simply the cost of services.

The Council's relationship with ODS brings significant benefits to both parties. Over the next three years ODS is making significant savings on its core ODSL operations of approaching £1M as well as sharing the costs of joint systems and back office services, which help reduce costs to the Council.

Most importantly, the Oxford Model means that any ODS surpluses are available to be returned to the Council by way of a dividend. ODS is meeting these dividend targets, which are a vital contribution to funding key public services. In an outsourced system, those surpluses leave the city and end up in the pockets of private investors.

And finally, the existence of a long-term relationship between ODS and the Council guarantees well paid and secure jobs for local people and a skills base on which to build ODS's commercial operations, which in turn will increase the return to the Council by way of dividends.

NC7: From Cllr Mundy to Cllr Chapman - ODS taxi servicing

Question

An integral part of the agreement to extend by one year the deadline for ultralow emissions standards for our Hackney Carriages was that ODS would satisfy the unmet need of maintenance services for the LEVC taxis. The LEVC fleet require specialist attention and are bound

Written Response

ODS renewed their LEVC warranty repairer status in April and work closely with COLTA members in keeping their vehicles maintained to manufacturers standards. We have two trained technicians and the lead time for bookings is consistent with other service

by strict warranty terms. Is ODS meeting the demand highlighted in the Taxi Licensing report and COLTA report to General Purposes Licensing Committee in February? requests – approximately 2 to 3 weeks.

We can meet the demand but ask customers to plan around this typical lead time.

Cabinet Member for a Healthy Oxford

CM1: From Cllr Gant to Cllr Munkonge - WCC

Question

Wolvercote's youth team has a close relationship with Cherwell Upper School, and youth training and development is conducted in tandem with the school's cricket programme. WCC has recently sponsored the training and qualification of 10 coaches and 12 umpires to improve its contribution to the cricketing community, and to promote improved performance for both the adult and youth teams.

In 2019 WCC raised over £60,000 via grant and private sources and donated this money to the council to build public cricket nets at Cutteslowe and Sunnymeade Park. The only condition on public access was that a lease and/or terms of use agreement be signed with the20ouncill. The Heads of Terms of this lease were agreed in a Memorandum of Understanding in December 2022 (see attached). The failure of the Council to complete this administrative process in a timely manner has had, and continues to have, significant impacts on the club's ability to deliver opportunities for its members, particularly young players.

Will the Cabinet member give me a commitment that this issue will be

Written Response

Our sincere apologies to Wolvercote Cricket Club. We recognise the excellent work of the club within the community and its fundraising efforts.

Unfortunately, due to a number of staff changes and subsequent capacity issues within the team, the final drafting of the lease has taken longer than we would usually hope. The drafting of the lease has now been allocated to a member of the legal services team and we would endeavour to have a draft version with the club by March 2025.

resolved quickly and efficiently, with a date?

CM2: From Cllr Fouweather to Cllr Munkonge – Basketball in Parks

Question

Many basketball courts in Council run parks in Oxford have damaged or missing nets on the basketball hoops. Can the Cabinet Member ensure that these nets are checked on a regular basis and repaired or replaced when needed?

Written Response

The basketball hoops in Oxford City
Parks are managed on behalf of the City
Council by Oxford Direct Services. Each
of the sites are inspected on a monthly
basis as part of the overall playground
and multi use games area inspections.
The order for the replacement nets at
sites where they are damaged or missing
has been placed and these will be
addressed over the next few weeks.

CM3: From Clir Rehman to Clir Munkonge – Cemetery Update

Question

Please can we have an update on the cemetery?

Written Response

The new burial meadow scheme is at planning stage with South Oxfordshire District Council, with key milestones recently achieved on addressing requests of statutory consultees. Completion of a property agreement securing access to the land means winter groundwater monitoring is now underway for the Environment Agency; and additional design work seeks to address County Highways requests. In addition, a recent archaeological scan of the land has revealed nothing of significance; and a net parking gain in the public carpark used by sports clubs is being communicated.

Subject to agreements with objecting consultees being concluded in spring 2025 with a planning approval, then site works are programmed to start in autumn 2025.

Note there is high availability of reclaimed grave plots in the existing Oxford cemeteries, and Burial Services have also been able to make a new area

of Mecca-aligned plots available to families with this choice. Relevant groups and organisations have been updated on this. A wider scheme update will also be taken to the Interfaith Forum next month.

CM4: From Cllr Yeatman to Cllr Munkonge - Speed Limit in Parks

Question

With concerns surrounding the speed and weight of ebikes (legal & illegal) is the cabinet member aware of the 20mph speed limit for Royal Parks and willing to consider this rule to protect all park users in Oxford?

Written Response

All legal e-bikes are restricted to 15mph. There is no practicable way of monitoring or enforcing the speed of bicycles in parks, other than through potential targeted police operations. They are unlikely to be able to provide regular resources to do this, but they do now undertake periodic operations to identify and confiscate illegal e-bikes.

CM5: From CIIr Djafari-Marbini to CIIr Munkonge – Car Boot Sale Kassam Stadium

Question

There is a weekly Sunday car boot sale at Kassam stadium which is very popular with all local residents and provides critical provisions. Has the private land owner been approached to allow this market once the lease expires?

Written Response

I would be happy to write to Mr Kassam and make such a request.

CM6: From Cllr Powell to Cllr Munkonge- South Park Fireworks

Question

Will the approach taken to this year's firework display on South Park become standard practice for similar events in the future?

Written Response

Mitigation measures for events in South Park will continue to need to reflect the size and character of the event taking place, the ground conditions at time (partly dependent on the time of year), and likely impact. Measures required will therefore not be the same for every event. However, the measures put in

place for this year's firework event will provide a likely template for this and other similar size events taking place later in the year/during wetter summers.

Cabinet Member for Housing and Communities

LS1: From CIIr Henwood to CIIr L Smith – Protecting Public Funds

Question

With Housing Benefit covering a large proportion of service charges, who is responsible for ensuring that this budget is not misused or misallocated due to these inaccuracies?

Written Response

Some elements of service charges such as meals, laundry, cleaning or personal care are ineligible for Housing Benefit in accordance with the Housing Benefit Regulations. The decision on the amount of Housing Benefit paid in accordance with these Regulations, is made by the Councils Housing Benefit officers under the management of the Head of Financial Services (Section 151 Officer)

LS2: From CIIr Henwood to CIIr L Smith - Accountability and Oversight

Question

Why have these discrepancies gone unnoticed by regulatory bodies or local authorities until now? If oversight is not your responsibility, who should residents turn to for support in holding housing providers accountable?

Written Response

It is understood that the issue being referred to relates to defects in a tenanted property belonging to a Registered Provider within the city. The city council wouldn't adjust the eligible service charges for a specific claimant due to a specific complaint they may have about the services that a landlord is charging. This is outside of HB scheme and remit of the department and is something that the resident would need to take up with their landlord. The eligible services are set for everyday provision and not for specific incidents that may occur, such as a leaking roof. Eligible service charges would not be adjusted by

| the LA and we would continue to pay the | | |
|--|--|--|
| eligible rent irrespective of individual | | |
| circumstances. | | |
| | | |

LS3: From CIIr Rehman to CIIr L Smith – Compensation Figure

Question

83% of stage 1 complaints upheld with compensation or completing repairs. Can we have a figure of the compensation paid?

Written Response

83% of Stage 2 complaints were upheld in 2023/24, not Stage 1 complaints.

£4,850 was paid on stage 2 complaints, over and above awards that may have been made already made at stage 1.

£14,500 of payments were made at stage 1.

LS4: From CIIr Rehman to CIIr L Smith – Service Improvement

Question

30 cases were escalated to stage 2 of which 25 were upheld. This has occurred even with service improvements being undertaken. What further measures is council taking to improve service?

Written Response

To clarify, even where service improvements have been put in place and/or works completed, a case upheld at Stage 1 that progresses to Stage 2 will still be upheld at Stage 2 on the basis of the initial findings, even if we do not uphold the tenant's reason for taking it to Stage 2. These will include cases where the complaint was upheld at Stage 1 and compensation offered, but the complainant disagreed with the amount of compensation.

There will also be cases where a Stage 1 complaint was not upheld that will progress to the second stage for review.

LS5: From CIIr Mundy to CIIr L Smith – Winter Housing Repairs

Question

The cabinet member will be aware of the issues faced by the residents of some of our City housing, especially older blocks

Written Response

The Council commissioned a 100% stock condition survey of all its stock in 2023

of flats such as on St Aldates. Issues of mould, inadequate insulation and ventilation, structural issues and pests are too common. In the light of the above issues, what will the council be doing to ensure that our tenants are ready for the winter and living in decent, safe, homes?

and this is approaching completion in spring 2025.

In December, Cabinet will be asked to approve the Housing Revenue Account Business Plan, the associated Asset Management Strategy and the Five-Year Investment Programme to address the concerns raised.

The stock condition survey will inform the investment programme proposed and the blocks referred to will be included and provided appropriate investment.

LS6: From Cllr Mundy to Cllr L Smith - Refugee Resettlement Scheme

Question

We have heard, at Housing and Homelessness Panel, that Oxford City Council expects to house the minimum requisite families for purposes of the refugee resettlement scheme (8 households). Is there an ambition from the cabinet member to be more ambitious with this scheme, as the funding allows for any number of settled families under the scheme?

Written Response

Currently the Council has no plans to review the commitment of eight households minimum per year supported through refugee resettlement schemes. Eight households is a challenging annual target for the Council due to the high cost and limited availability of housing stock in Oxford, but one we continue to meet. It is the current optimal figure. A larger commitment would require recruiting a larger resettlement team to support households, and this would require a significant increase in the number of properties to be sourced (in order to obtain additional grant to pay for the staffing), which in the current housing market is not possible. We will however ensure that where current staffing capacity and housing availability allows us to go slightly beyond the commitment of eight we will do this, which is why that flexibility has been provided.

LS7: From CIIr Djafari-Marbini to CIIr L Smith - Cost of Affordable Housing

Question

Many tenants of housing associations are crippled by the high cost of so-called

Written Response

All Housing Associations are regulated

affordable housing which the council operates via the Oxford Register for Affordable Housing on behalf of this partnership. Worse still is the lack of care and support for repairs to pre existing tenants whilst the same associations have procured contracts for new housing . How will the cabinet member work to ensure that the needs of existing tenants are met and that the costs of such accommodation is reduced?

by the Regulator of Social Housing and the Regulatory Standards that are in place.

These include the Rent Standard, which controls rent and service charge levels; the Safety and Quality Standard, which covers repairs, maintenance, decency of accommodation and health and safety compliance; and the Transparency, Influence and Accountability Standard which sets out regulatory expectations around accessibility and supporting tenants, as well as complaints.

The local authority has no powers of intervention regarding Housing Associations on these matters.

LS8: From Cllr Djafari-Marbini to Cllr L Smith – Relocation of Football Pitch

Question

What specific plans are in place to ensure that the football pitches specifically Sandy Lane football pitch currently proposed for development will be replaced or relocated? The cabinet member at last council spoke of use of neighbouring pitches in Littlemore in accordance with Playing pitch and Green spaces strategies. This pitch is already oversubscribed and hence would not be able to accommodate all the current users of the Sandy Lane pitch.

Written Response

The question relating to the specific plans for the football pitches would be one for the applicant (OX Place) to address in the planning application.

The site has been allocated for housing development in the Local Plan.

Enhanced outdoor sport facilities should be provided, in line with the requirements of Policy G5, with pitches at least equivalent to 2 full-sized football pitches and one junior pitch provided.

Any proposal will need to set out how this has been provided, and the planning service will review any proposals closely as and when an application is submitted.

LS9: From CIIr R Smith to CIIr L Smith - Crime in Alice Smith House

Question

The annual crime rate associated with Alice Smith House and Alice Smith Square is 200 per thousand population, compared to 115 per thousand population across Oxford. Crimes associated with Alice Smith House include in the past year Sept 23 Aug 24. A higher-than-average rate of violence and sexual offences, public order offences criminal damage and arson. Given the higher-than-average rate of violent crime how is the city council managing Alice Smith House? Does the city council have a proactive strategy to reduce crime emanating from Alice Smith House?

| | Alice Smith | LA (per |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| | (relative | 1000 |
| | rating) | pop) |
| Violence and | 85.6 | 7/10 |
| sexual offences | | |
| Public Order | 24.1 | 7/10 |
| Criminal | 16.9 | 7/10 |
| damage and | | |
| arson | | |
| Bicycle theft | 11.8 | 8/10 |
| Anti-Social | 10.9 | 3/10 |
| behaviour | | |
| Drugs | 10.5 | 7/10 |
| Other theft | 10.5 | 5/10 |
| Vehicle crime | 10 | 6/10 |
| Other crime | 8.66 | 7/10 |
| Burglary | 5.01 | 5/10 |
| Theft from a | 2.73 | 3/10 |
| person | | |
| Possession of | 1.82 | 5/10 |
| weapons | | |
| Robbery | 0.456 | 2/10 |
| Shoplifting | 0.456 | 2/10 |
| Data aguraga data | and the entire of the | |

Data source: data.police.uk

Written Response

The data is recorded crime from the police crime recording system. The recorded crimes do not all emanate from Alice Smith House and the actual number of recorded crimes in the area will be lower than the relative rating.

The responsibility for investigating crime lies with the police. The Council work closely with the local police to support their activities and prevent crime.

The Council and police met with the local community in October to discuss local community safety concerns. Information was given out on who to report to and what local people can do regarding crime and anti-social behaviour.

The Council has put extra money in the budget to increase our capacity to respond to anti-social behaviour and to prevent lone working of officers attending incidents.

Cabinet Member for Planning

LU1: From CIIr Miles to CIIr Upton - Gibbs Crescent Redevelopment

Question

What has happened to the rebuilding of Gibbs crescent as there seems to be little or no activity?

Written Response

The contractor went into Administration in June 2023, so building work ceased. A2Dominion coordinated with the administrators to clear materials and equipment from the site. A2Dominion have now retendered the project and have successfully secured a contractor to advance the scheme. A2Dominion have continued to fund archaeological work, while awaiting confirmation of grant funding from Homes England to facilitate the project's delivery. The Council holds regular meetings with A2Dominion to review this site, which include discussions regarding the s106 agreement conditions.

LU2: From Cllr Miles to Cllr Upton - Collins Street Redevelopment

Question

An increasing number of small children living on Collins Street are enjoying playing out on this street, which also forms a key route to East Oxford Primary School. What are the proposed public realm improvements for Collins Street to accompany the council's development of the old games hall in this location, and the status of the removal of the short term parking bays opposite the A2 Dominion building?

Written Response

There is no specific improvement to the public realm, bar the new building itself. The new flats and provision of the private terraces to the ground floor will improve natural surveillance and provide more security. There will be no car parking provided for the flats, so no additional traffic will be created as the development prioritises access by walking, cycling and use of public transport.

LU3: From Cllr Smowton to Cllr Upton - NPPF Changes / Green Belt

Question

Do you anticipate that proposed changes to NPPF paragraph 144 (formerly 147), beginning "...When drawing up or reviewing Green Belt boundaries", and

Written Response

Oxford has a longstanding housing crisis and need for new homes, while at the same time having a highly constrained specifically the obligation to "consider other sustainable Green Belt locations", may alter previous Green Belt Reviews' conclusions not to allocate sites on Green Belt land falling within Oxford City? supply of developable land. For this reason, our neighbouring authorities have previously agreed to allocate land to cater for our unmet needs, usually on sites removed from Green Belt within their authority areas. Therefore, it has long been essential that Oxford demonstrates it has done what it can to identify suitable housing sites within its own boundaries, including on Green Belt land.

Development allocations on Green Belt where there would be more than a moderate impact on Green Belt function has been avoided, and this is still not required by the proposed amended NPPF wording. The majority of Oxford's Green Belt is at high risk of flooding and/or is an important biodiversity site. Significant sites that aren't constrained in this way have already been removed from the Green Belt as part of previous reviews.

Of course, it will be necessary to review the Green Belt again to see if any sites meet the new definition of 'Grey Belt' and there is the possibility that one or two small sites may, and whilst we welcome any opportunity to deliver more homes within our boundary it will not significantly impact the quantum of our unmet need.

LU4: From Cllr Smowton to Cllr Upton - NPPF Changes / Upward Extensions

Question

Do you anticipate that proposed changes to NPPF paragraph 122 (formerly 124), which would remove the requirement for upward extensions to respect the prevailing height of surrounding buildings, to substantially increase the opportunity to increase housing capacity by increasing building heights?

Written Response

The City Council responded positively to this proposed change in the NPPF consultation. We have not seen much appetite in the city to explore the potential of upward extensions (which may have a range of practical and structural limitations) but it is possible that this change to the NPPF could encourage higher residential buildings.

They will of course need to be well designed and to comply with the policies we have to protect existing dwellings.

LU5: From CIIr Smowton to CIIr Upton – Revised Standard Method

Question

The proposed revision to the Standard Method sets a benchmark of 5,151 dwellings per annum (dpa) across all of Oxfordshire, which is much higher than either the old Standard Method (3,229dpa) or the Housing and Economic Need Assessment (HENA) CE method (4,402dpa). It is slightly higher in fact than the total of current Local Plans drawn up under the Growth Deal, which total rates of around 5,042dpa, with some vagueness due to differing time windows for different plans.

In view of this remarkable increase in obligation across the county, while the final decision on any Local Plan rests with Council, will the Cabinet commit itself to planning for the new Standard Method figures rather than attempting to push for still-higher numbers, thereby eliminating a key bone of contention between neighbouring councils?

Written Response

We await the final version of the NPPF to see how the new Standard Method figures are set and what the overall pictures for Oxford, and Oxfordshire are.

However, it is clear that the government intends to significantly increase the overall level of housing which the City Council supports and welcomes.

It looks likely that the City Council will now proceed with a Local Plan under the new NPPF and will of course follow what its approach is in terms of housing need. You have selectively quoted the fact that the HENA method gives lower numbers for Oxfordshire as a whole, while neglecting to mention that for Oxford itself it gives numbers that are significantly higher.

It is worth noting that South Oxfordshire, Vale of White Horse and Cherwell District Councils are not intending to use the new Standard Method figures for their own housing needs. They have instead elected to proceed with their plans using the old standard method, which has a much lower level of housing need. This means that there will be a significant period of time (until the subsequent round of Local Plans) where none of that newly identified need will be provided for in any Oxfordshire Local Plan.

I do hope the councillor will encourage his colleagues in our surrounding districts to use the new Standard Method in their emerging Local Plans. The fact that they are rushing to get their plans submitted using the old Standard Method is highly disappointing and I'm sure he would join me in challenging the inadequacy of their proposed provision.

LU6: From Cllr Gant to Cllr Upton - ClL Funds

Question

Under CIL regulations, LPAs manage the neighbourhood portion of CIL, whereby Neighbourhood Forums invite applications for funds for local benefit, and, if agreed by the NF, the application is considered, approved and administered by the LPA.

I am aware of many cases where there have been considerable delays in Oxford City Council fulfilling its role in this process in a timely manner. This has led to considerable extra administrative work for the volunteers who run Neighbourhood Forums. More importantly, local groups who have applied for and been granted funds in good faith have not received them within a reasonable timescale. Indeed, I am aware of one application where members of a local association have contracted with a supplier on the basis of an application being approved and dates given by the City Council, and have ended up having to pay the supplier from their own, private resources because of delays on the part of the City Council both in approving the grant then processing the payment, and in communication between departments.

Will the Cabinet member undertake to work with me on an urgent review of all outstanding applications, giving a binding deadline for resolution, and commit to a timescale for the processing and payment of all such applications in the future?

Written Response

Unfortunately, we have had some capacity issues within the team that meant a number of funding applications were not fulfilled. Once this was identified we reached out to all Neighbourhood Forums to inform them, to apologise for the inconvenience and to work with them identifying gaps and rectify this issue subsequently.

The CIL team have recently undertaken significant recruitment and have created an additional role to ensure the efficient running of internal and external processes. The team continue to work with Forums on this and other funding matters, and new internal processes will ensure that this issue will not arise in the future.

Neighbourhood Forums should reach out to me directly if they experience delays with CIL payments in future.

LU7: From Cllr Fouweather to Cllr Upton - Local Plan 2040

Question

Can the Cabinet Member update the Council on the timetable for the revision of the failed Local Plan 2040 and when Councillors can expect to see the revised version presented to Council for review?

Written Response

Since receiving the Inspectors' letter, officers have been taking legal advice and are considering the options for the next steps to securing a new Local Plan. An item has been added to the Forward Plan indicating that a report with recommendations on the next steps will go to the January cycle of Cabinet and Council.

LU8: From Cllr Fouweather to Cllr Upton – Local Plan 2040

Question

Can the Councillor explain what planning regime will operate in Oxford if the Local Plan 2040 is not adopted by the end of 2025?

Written Response

There is no requirement to review a Local Plan to assess if it is out of date until 5 years after adoption - this will be in 2025 for the 2036 Plan. After that point an adopted local plan will not be considered out of date if it can demonstrate a five-year supply of deliverable housing sites and has delivered at least 75% of its housing requirement over the last three years. We would also need to assess whether the other policies are out of date by virtue of a significant change in circumstances or in government policy.

In 2025 there will still be 11 years left on the adopted Local Plan and subject to the tests mentioned above it will retain its function and status until it is replaced by a new Local Plan. We anticipate that the 2036 Local Plan will continue to be suitable for determining planning applications in accordance with the adopted spatial strategy.

LU9: From Cllr Rehman to Cllr Upton – Botley Bridge Support Update

Question

Botley bridge previously informed the reason for the delay for confidentiality was Network Rail wanted to improve services for the public. Apart from the existing shuttle services i am not aware of any additional support for resident s or businesses. Can you inform council of the additional support in place network rail provided?

Written Response

This is a Network Rail project. We continue to push them to ensure they maximise support for local businesses and residents. However, the local authority responsible for working with Network Rail to permit and manage the highway network impacts of the scheme is the County Council.

The Leader has previously written to the Valuation Office Agency (VOA) to flag that the works were likely to result in a material change in circumstances for businesses in the area and to ask that applications made by businesses seeking RV reductions were considered thoroughly and sympathetically. Officers are currently preparing a follow-up to this letter given the elongation of the works and the ongoing uncertainties. However, the council cannot make these applications directly they must be made by the businesses to the VOA.

LU10: From Cllr Rehman to Cllr Upton – Electric Taxi Extension

Question

I am awaiting a response in line with Botley bridge being closed for an additional year can representations be made for the additional impact this will cause?

Written Response

It is not clear from the question, but I assume Cllr Rehman is referring to the requirement for Hackney Carriages to be fully electric by 2026. The options for extending this were debated by the General Purpose Licensing Committee which then put forward the recommendation to grant a one-year extension for taxi owners to transition to electric vehicles. This recommendation was taken to full council for approval; it was voted on and approved earlier this year.

One third of the vehicles have already converted to electric. My view is that we do not want to penalise those owners that have already made the investment in line with the initial timelines and the 1-year extension. Any further extensions would undermine confidence in the licensing authority. This confidence is needed for vehicle and business owners to make the investment in new vehicles. If we moved the goalposts again it would not help maintain trust going forward.

Servicing costs are now much lower for electric than diesel taxis so they are becoming a more financially attractive move as time goes by.

LU11: From Clir Mundy to Clir Upton – Transport Liaison

Question

There are cycle racks along Jowett Walk, with enough spaces for several dozen cycles. OxBikes uses premises within Baliol College and they, no doubt, offer an important service renting out reconditioned cycles. However, it has reached a point where almost all the public cycle racks along JW are taken up with OxBikes cycles, leaving no spaces for local residents and other visitors, which is not fair. I have been told by County Council officers that there is nothing stopping the use of the racks in this way, but also via FixMyStreet that there is an agreement in this case with "The Council". Is the agreement with the City Council? Can our cabinet members remedy this with an understanding of fair usage, allowing for other cyclists to park on JW?

Written Response

I share Cllr Mundy's frustration and annoyance with public bike racks being filled with privately-owned bicycles. The City Council has invested large sums in increasing the number of bike racks in the city, and they were intended for members of the public to use them.

We certainly have not made any agreement with OxBikes to use racks and I believe that the 'agreement' referred to on FixMyStreet is simply the contract ODS has to maintain the highways and pavements in the city.

LU12: From Cllr Mundy to Cllr Upton – Transport Liaison

Question

The public highway and public cycle stands are sometimes deluged with rental e-bikes, scooters, other bikes for

Written Response

The City Council has invested large sums in increasing the number of bike

rent. Potentially hindering public access and use of facilities. There is also unmet need for cycle parking in many places, with the time taken to get new or improved provision delivered taking longer than the lifespan of the average red dwarf. It may, debatably, be a justifiable policy to prioritise bike sharing/rental over the facilities for other cycles, but is this the position of the cabinet member and the Council?

racks in the city, and they were intended for members of the public to use them. As you allude to, it is a lengthy and expensive process which makes it particularly galling to then find that they are filled up by private hire bikes. Or just as annoyingly, that hire bikes are simply left on pavements where they obstruct people walking.

I understand that the County Council, who are in charge of highways, are looking at how they deal with parking hire bikes and scooters. They have asked both e-bike hire companies (Voi and Lime, which each now have 100 e-bikes in the city) to pause any expansion while they audit all existing hire bike parking bays. Let's hope that they find a solution. I would favour on-road parking bays where there is space for them.

LU13: From Cllr Djafari-Marbini to Cllr Upton – Relocation of Football Pitch

Question

It is vital for the Blackbird community to maintain access to adequate sporting facilities, particularly for youth and grassroots football programs. Where will the replacement pitches be located, and what is the timeline for their availability? Knowing the location and expected completion date of any new facilities will help local teams plan for the future of the local football programs and ensure that players continue to have access to quality playing surfaces.

Written Response

The need to reprovide the facilities is set out within the local plan and will be considered when an application is submitted.

Work is underway to bring proposals forward, and officers want to do so as soon as practicable, recognising that this is important, not least to allow for forward planning by local teams and players.

LU14: From Cllr Kerr to Cllr Upton - Bus Services

Question

Given that private school bus services are not competitors to existing bus services, can the portfolio holder explain why they are currently not permitted to run from the park and rides which the

Written Response

When previously considered, it was too high a risk that the additional buses could cause delay and disruption to the park and ride service and as a result it would City Council owns, and if this approach should be revisited?

require significant management. The Council would need a wider review of Park and Ride management and costs, and I agree that this is a conversation that needs to be had with schools and the County Council who also own Park and Ride sites around the city.

LU15: From Cllr Kerr to Cllr Upton - Noise Complaints

Question

Can the portfolio holder explain how noise complaints regarding heat pumps and Jacuzzis are handled in the council's planning policies?

Written Response

Noise complaints about heat pumps and Jacuzzis are addressed through the Council's Planning Policy RE8 and Corporate Enforcement Policy. Policy RE8 requires developments to manage noise to protect amenity and health, including mitigation measures where necessary. Complaints about operational noise are handled under our enforcement policy, which emphasizes proportionate, targeted, and transparent action. This can range from informal resolutions to formal enforcement if compliance cannot be achieved. Early engagement during planning is encouraged to address potential noise issues proactively, ensuring a balance between development needs and residents' quality of life

LU16: From Cllr Kerr to Cllr Upton – Solar Panels Planning Permission

Question

Can the portfolio holder explain the council's current policies with regards to granting planning permission for solar panels in schools?

Written Response

The Council will consider any application for development in accordance with the policies of the Local Plan.

There is not a specific policy that deals with the installation of solar panels on school buildings. We would consider any application that comes forward on their own merits, and we support proposals

that seek to improve energy efficiency.

It should be noted that there are permitted development rights available for the installation of solar panels on non-domestic buildings. Moreover in the case of schools, many are county council owned, which means that the county would be the determining authority for applications on their own land.

LU17: From Clir Henwood to Clir Upton- Scheme and Improvements

Question

The Planning report accompanying the application for Newman Place states "The former playing field on the Newman Place site should be re-provided within the scheme of towards facilities elsewhere". Can the portfolio holder explain how the scheme was re-provided in the area and which facilities in Littlemore were improved? Or did the improvements go elsewhere in the city to the detriment of Littlemore?

Written Response

Assuming that you mean the Catalyst scheme on Armstrong Road, the committee report for the original outline application for the residential development at Armstrong Road (14/02940/OUT) secured a £50,000 contribution towards improving facilities elsewhere. This was on the grounds that there was no other suitable area in the locality where a cricket pitch (which the former playing field was used for) could be re-provided. Therefore, a contribution was sought which was linked to general leisure and sports provision in the wider surrounding area.

The financial contribution from this development was recently passed to Littlemore Parish Council for them to use towards the renewal of the lease of the Oxford Road open space / leisure ground.

Question V

Armstrong Road development 14/02940: In the biodiversity offsetting report and

Written Response

The question relates to a planning application, and as such any documents

LU18: From Cllr Henwood to Cllr Upton - Report Availability

retile method statement the appraisal states that the Littlemore Brooke is of importance and in close proximity to the Iffley Meadows site of scientific interest. The report states that the area has the potential for otters' bats and water voles present in Littlemore Brooke, and that a more detailed report at reserved matters will be undertaken. Will the portfolio holder make this report available?

submitted are publicly available on the Council's planning website under the relevant application reference.

https://www.oxford.gov.uk/planningapplications/view-comment-trackplanning-applications

LU19: From Cllr Henwood to Cllr Upton – Itemised CIL Spend

Question

The Newman Place development was liable for a CIL charge. The County Council requested that CIL charges for the development be spent on nontransport infrastructure priorities including extensions to the existing primary, secondary and 6th form schools, special needs accommodation, ad improvements to the Westgate library, early intervention centres, children's centres and elderly day centres. And funding for the replacement and re-phasing of the traffic signals at Littlemore Roundabout (A4142). Actually, how was CIL spent? Can I have an itemised account of the CIL spend?

Written Response

CIL receipts are not disaggregated into individual receipts from each application. Instead, 70-80% are put into a strategic infrastructure fund, a small amount is spent on administration and the remainder is spent on neighbourhood infrastructure as guided by ward members, or in this case, Littlemore Parish Council.

Where specific infrastructure is required to make an application acceptable in planning terms, this is normally requested as a direct 'Section106' contribution. As and when other projects come forward the County Council can make a bid for the use of CIL in line with this Council's capital projects approval process.

LU20: From Clir Henwood to Clir Upton – Development Funds

Question

Why is the cities 106 development funds being spent on the Cowley Branch Line, when transportation is the responsibility of the County Council?

Written Response

The £206k of Section 106 funds being used to fund the Full Business Case for the Cowley Branch Line are those that sit with the County Council, not the City Council. This is supported by a funding agreement between the two authorities.

The amounts contributed by each funder is set out in para 17 of the relevant cabinet report and the rationale for public sector contributions is set out in para 19 and 30 of the same report.

LU21: From CIIr Henwood to CIIr Upton – Parking Fees and UK Competition Law

Question

CPZs will be introduced to Rose Hill and Littlemore where 90% of the surveyed residents don't want one. Could the council to write to the competition and Markets Authority, asking them to investigate whether forcing residents to pay for parking they don't want is in line with UK competition law?

Written Response

Cllr Henwood appears to have prejudged the County Council decision on the North Littlemore CPZ, which will not be taken until December 12th.

A Rose Hill CPZ that includes the shops on Rose Hill (but not the Oval) was approved on November 14th. However, the vast majority of the Rose Hill estate is not included in the CPZ.

I'm afraid I have no idea why Cllr Henwood feels that competition law is relevant here and I will not be writing to the CMA.

LU22: From Cllr Stares to Cllr Upton - Recent Littlemore Developments

Question

How much section 106 revenues have the following developments in Littlemore produced in recent years? Please add to the list any further developments I may have omitted. I also ask for a breakdown of where it has been spent and what on?

Lanham Way Development

Barberi Close Development

Pipley Furlong Development

Denny Gardens Development

St Georges Manor & Mandlebrote Drive Development

Written Response

All Section 106 agreements entered into each year are detailed in the annual Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS) published on our website, starting in the year 2020. The next IFS is being taken to December Cabinet for approval to publish.

If you are unable to find the information that you need in the IFS then please do come back to me and I will ask officers to prepare a list for you.

Newman Place Development

Mogridge Drive Development

Railway Lane Development

Medhurst Way Development

Lanham Way Development

Astrop Lane Development

David Nicholls Close Development

Lawn Upton Close Development

Lake field Road Development

Northfield House Development

Dominion Oil Development(off Railway Lane)

Kassam Industrial estate Developments

Ellison Institute Science Development

Eastpoint Science Park Development

LU23: From Cllr Djafari-Marbini to Cllr Upton – Local Plans 2036 and 2040

Question

Local plans 2036 and 2040 emphasise the importance of strong local facilities to meet targets around stronger communities and tackling the climate emergency whilst acknowledging that the city is starkly divided with parts of the city such as Northfield brook being poorly served and hence residents suffering with a huge discrepancy in healthy life expectancy compared to north oxford. What plans are there for extra provisions such as reasonable value eateries, a regular fruit and veg market, extra provision for GP services, schools and provision of well maintained footpaths and cycle paths with the extra housing and residents planned? Without the above, the living conditions of current residents will worsen with the completion of planned much needed housing.

Written Response

Addressing inequalities is a key theme of the Local Plans. Planning can have a role through the choices made in setting planning policies, for example, by requiring a high proportion of affordable housing and securing community benefits such as footpaths and cycle paths through planning applications. City planners also work with service providers such as the County Council and the ICB to plan for and facilitate the development of schools and healthcare facilities. The other facilities listed in the question would all be supported in principle through the policies of the Local Plan but would be dependent on an applicant wishing to develop such premises. Planning policies cannot themselves

deliver services and facilities, but they can set the vision and encourage such developments.

LU24: From Cllr Henwood to Cllr Upton - £500,000 Leisure Expenditure

Question

The legal agreement for Newman Place states that "there will be a financial contribution of £500,000 towards general sports and leisure facilities within Littlemore". Can the portfolio holder explain how the £500,000 was spent in Littlemore to improve leisure facilities?

Written Response

Apart from the gross inaccuracy in the number in the question - it was £50,000, not £500,000, that was secured by the City Council for Littlemore facilities – I can confirm that £50k was recently sent to Littlemore Parish Council to use on the sports facility at Oxford Road in Littlemore. If Cllr Henwood requires more details I suggest that he contacts Littlemore Parish Council, which should be relatively simple since he is a Littlemore Parish Councillor.

LU25: From Cllr Mundy to Cllr Upton - Food hygiene

Question

Recent news on food hygiene ratings has suggested that some businesses may have incorrectly displayed superior ratings. Do we have data on establishments that have incorrectly displayed a food hygiene rating and how is the Council communicating with potential problem food premises?

Written Response

The Council does not hold data on businesses displaying incorrect food hygiene ratings. During inspections, officers check displayed ratings and request the removal of any incorrect stickers. Customers can verify accurate ratings online at the Food Standards Agency's website.

Most food businesses in Oxford have a 'very good' rating (FHRS 5), and errors are rare, usually occurring when a business is downgraded, but the old sticker remains in place. Serious issues, such as closures, are addressed immediately by officers, while minor downgrades rely on businesses removing old stickers as instructed.

Over the past year, the Council received one complaint about an incorrect rating, which was resolved through reinspection.



To: Council

Date: 25 November 2024

Report of: Head of Law and Governance

Title of Report: Public addresses and questions that do not relate to

matters for decision – as submitted by the speakers and with written responses from Cabinet Members

Introduction

- 1. Addresses made by members of the public to the Council, and questions put to the Cabinet members or Leader, registered by the deadline in the Constitution, are below. Any written responses available are also below.
- 2. The text reproduces that sent in the speakers and represents the views of the speakers. This is not to be taken as statements by or on behalf of the Council
- 3. This report will be republished after the Council meeting as part of the minutes pack. This will list the full text of speeches delivered as submitted, summaries of speeches delivered which differ significantly from those submitted, and any further responses.

Addresses and questions to be taken in Part 2 of the agenda

- 1. Address from Margaret Simpson support for Motion 19a (Making Oxford a Truly Walkable City)
- 2. Address from Colin Aldridge support for Motion 19g (Drug Consumption Rooms)
- 3. Address from Kaddy Beck Support for Bertie Place
- 4. Address from Chaka Artwell
- 5. Question from Chaka Artwell

Addresses and questions to be taken in Part 2 of the agenda

 Address from Margaret Simpson – support for Motion 19a (Making Oxford a Truly Walkable City)

Good evening.

I am Margaret Simpson, Chair of OXFORD 50+ NETWORK and Oxtalk Talking Newspaper for the Blind; organiser of Oxford's annual UN Older People's Day, held here in the Town Hall; this year's event being the 13th year. I have been a MyVision

Oxfordshire Trustee for over 10 years. I also engage with various social care, health and community groups, here in the City and with the two Universities.

The motion – **Making Oxford a Truly Walkable City** is one I wish to support on behalf of the groups and organisations mentioned.

WHY?

The Lord Mayor this year has walked and marked the City boundaries. Within these boundaries live 1000s of permanent Oxford residents and it is for these residents that Oxford should be a truly welcoming and walkable City. Residents who are of all ages, of varying physical abilities and needs irrespective of whether they pay council tax or not.

By welcoming I mean, that for all the residents who live in the area referred to as, the City of Oxford, they will find travelling about the City to be a pleasurable experience. That they find, whether they are walking, cycling or using their mobility aid, that they do so in the certain knowledge that whether their journey is short or long, either to or from work or for socialising and relaxation, it proves to be a good experience.

As Councillors you are endeavouring to establish Oxford as a leading e-City with clean air; with safe, clear, appropriate, pleasurable walkways; welcoming multi-use retail areas; with an increased number of charging points for e-vehicles in and around the localities.

In order to achieve this in a historic City which has already well established areas, you will obviously have to consider balancing the current needs of your residents with the needs of the future residents and future developmental needs.

Consideration must be given to the infrastructure of the future e-City and the localities individually, which form part of the whole. Can there be connecting uncluttered walkways and socialisation areas with varied and appropriate seating and locality hubs with toilets and drinking water facilities? Include if and where appropriate multi-use areas, which are used with consideration and respect by all users?

Residents in all localities need to feel safe, so they will be encouraged to visit and shop and socialise locally. So the need for open communal areas with clear sight lines is important.

As elected City Councillors you are responsible for the City as a whole, as well as being involved in the localities you have been elected to represent.

But you cannot make the WHOLE City the walkable City we wish for, immediately.

So the WHERE and HOW to start?

Suggestions for possible aims which could be considered: -

- consider the areas to be developed, as areas 'owned and shared' by the residents, areas which residents will be proud of and will wish to support continually into the future.
- ask for their help to assist you in planning and 'driving forward' the aims of a 'Truly Walkable Oxford'
- consider workable partnerships, with representation from all the various age groups in the locality, a mixed gender group, encouraging residents from the 'hidden' groups to be involved as well as parents of pre-school groups, young adults & students, older residents and include retailers

- a representative voluntary group working with the interested local Councillors, led by a community representative
- within Oxford there are many established interest groups which can be referred
 to for specific advice, as and when required OPT, Oxford Pedestrian
 Association, Safer Pavements for All, Headington Action, Community Centres,
 Age Friendly Oxford, Unlimited, MyVision Oxfordshire and others, specific to the
 locality
- look at the area as it actually is without access to large budgets you cannot go altering the physicality of the area; I am making the assumption that it will have to be accepted that what is currently in place, ie road layouts; pavements; commercial street uses, will have to remain, but they could be considered for improvement or adaptation?
- plan to improve the appearance and usage of the area and by that I mean improve pavement surfaces so they are safer for all to use; encourage attractive shop window displays and clean street shop frontages; reduce unnecessary non-fixed street furniture, such as A boards and other advertising materials and make the local area more socially
- aware with attractive social seating creating relaxation and rest areas and encourage pride in the local area with community planted areas of, for example, free to all herbs
- in the central area of Oxford City engage 'here to help' volunteers to assist the visitors who have the map the wrong way round or find the directional display boards not easy to understand
- promote the consideration and respect of all users in the multi-use areas; a
 priority responsibility for us all

On behalf of Oxford residents and visitors to Oxford I ask that you consider approving and accepting this motion and in so doing, you will invite members of the Oxford community to be involved.

A City community truly engaged in working together.

Margaret A Simpson MBE

11th November 2024

2. Address from Colin Aldridge – support for Motion 19g (Drug Consumption Rooms)

Hello

I came here about 3 years ago with an address about Drug Consumption rooms. When I did this a Labour councillor replied with "we will not get anywhere with this until this shower in Government has gone" Well, that shower has now gone so I am back with the same idea.

While I believe illegal drug taking is detrimental to someone health, I also realise some will still choose to do so.

Criminalising drug use is not working. There are many in our society who now realise this course of action needs to change and become a health challenge. Forcing people into rehab through the criminal justice system does not work for the majority as they are not willing participants. We can only improve the situation by having people wanting to change their actions.

DCRs have been used in several countries with varying amounts of success but always with success.

I have 2 quotes from website with addresses which are

The rate of drug-poisoning deaths in 2023 (93.0 deaths per million) was double the rate in 2012 (46.5 deaths per million). The rate has increased every year since 2012, after remaining relatively stable over the preceding two decades.

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/deathsrelatedtodrugpoisoninginenglandandwales/2023registrations

In summary, the benefits of providing supervised drug consumption facilities may include improvements in safe, hygienic drug use, especially among regular clients, increased access to health and social services, and reduced public drug use and associated nuisance. There is no evidence to suggest that the availability of safer injecting facilities increases drug use or frequency of injecting. These services facilitate rather than delay treatment entry and do not result in higher rates of local drug-related crime.

https://www.euda.europa.eu/topics/pods/drug-consumption-rooms_en

And 2 more links to a trial in Glasgow showing how they work.

https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-66929385

https://www.itv.com/watch/news/uks-first-drug-consumption-room-enabling-supervised-injections-to-open-in-

glasgow/vj2cgrk?gad_source=5&gclid=EAlalQobChMI9_nBibviiQMVWaRQBh0jDwaPE AAYAiAAEglZRvD_BwE

I would like all Oxford City Councillors to come together to write a cross-party letter to the government asking for a change in the law, at the very least permission for a trial in Oxford City.

I hope you can get behind this idea and finally make a difference in so many lives.

Thank you for listening.

Thank you for your address. While I am aware that research does suggest that drug consumption rooms can help to reduce harm and deaths among drug users, the implementation of this policy remains controversial, not least in the medical profession and is not currently proposed.

3. Address from Kaddy Beck - Support for Bertie Place

I'm here to express my opposition to the appropriation of Bertie Park, and to highlight OCC cabinet's failure to consider the law governing appropriation.

The local government lawyer website states:

"Local authorities frequently use powers to appropriate land from one statutory purpose to another. This is often treated purely as an administrative matter, but there are some

instances where local authorities should exercise a significant degree of caution.... a well- advised council will always take care to ensure that all the proper procedures are followed...."

Councillors have indeed told us that appropriation is a paper exercise, that the land is simply being moved from one budget to another. But s122 of the 1972 Local Government Act states that "a principal council may appropriate ... any land which belongs to the council and is no longer required for the purpose for which it is held immediately before the appropriation."

You say that Bertie Park is "no longer required for its present purpose" as "all current functions of the site ... will continue to be available following the development." (Cabinet report)

Your lawyers state:

"It is not the Council's assertion that the land to be appropriated in this case is surplus to requirements but that it is no longer needed for its present use as alternative facilities will be made available. These facilities will be provided within Site A." Appendix 1B p110

You want to appropriate 80% of our recreation ground, and re-provide the facilities on a postage stamp within the remaining 20%.

The appropriated land consists of a Multi Use Games Area, or MUGA, which is used for five- a-side football, basketball etc, a small strip of the existing playground, and the grassed area used for free play.

You say the MUGA will be 24% smaller, "but still offers the facilities to local people to access space for free-play and court games." (EqIA)

If the MUGA is being used for court games, there will be nowhere for free play at all. This means a 100% reduction in space for free play. And nobody has been able to reassure us that the smaller MUGA will be suitable for either football or basketball. Your 2040 plan suggested that the MUGA could disappear altogether.

In the cabinet meeting on the 16th October, we argued that the assertion that the new, smaller MUGA could fulfil current needs for court games and free play was Wednesbury unreasonable i.e. barking mad.

As a council, you are obliged to follow the law. Cabinet members were not asked to consider whether a "reasonable authority" could hold that a 344 m2 MUGA could fulfil these needs.

Instead, you argued that it would be more unreasonable not to appropriate the land because this would prevent the construction of any housing on the site.

You want to build 300 homes on Redbridge paddock, 31 homes on Bertie Park ... there will be other developments across our area. At the same time, you want to downgrade facilities for young adults, and downgrade our only community amenity. You claim that the "loss" will be "offset" by providing better access to an area that Thames Valley Police say is unsafe for unaccompanied children.

Our community thinks that this is unreasonable. This is why people are so angry.

The law is not an inconvenience. In this case, it is there to protect recreational facilities, like ours, which you agree are needed. We have asked for a judicial review of your decision to appropriate the land on Bertie Park. We ask you, as councillors, to decide whether you will gamble ratepayers' money on winning this case.

Angela Rayner recently assured us that the UK government is not considering building on recreation grounds in its efforts to solve the UK housing crisis. So why are you so determined to build on ours?

4. Address from Chaka Artwell

Following on from the conclusions from the 2011 Thames Valley Police Constabulary's Operation "Bullfinch," public enquiry, and as method of publicly marking the harm which was allowed to occur against 373 Caucasian Christian heritage female pupils; some of which were vulnerable and in Local Authority care.

Will the Elected Oxford City Councillors support my call for a monument to be placed in Oxford, to atone for allowing harm to occur to the children of Oxfordshire.

5. Question from Chaka Artwell

Oxford specifically, and England's noble centuries of Free Critical Speech, and lampooning traditions have been copied by most of the parliaments of the world.

Will Oxford City Council continue to uphold England's 1215 Magna Carta granted Free Critical Speech tradition; a noble Free Speech Tradition, which can conflict with today's Politically Correct creed?



To: Council

Date: 25 November 2024

Report of: Head of Law and Governance

Title of Report: Motions to Petitions received in accordance with

Council Procedure Rule 11.15

Councillors are asked to debate and reach conclusions

on the motions and amendment listed below in accordance with the Council's rules for debate.

Introduction

This document sets out motions received by the Head of Law and Governance in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 11.15 by the deadline of 10.00am on Friday, 22 November 2024.

All substantive amendments sent by councillors to the Head of Law and Governance must be submitted by 11.00am on Monday, 25th September 2024.

Unfamiliar terms are explained in the glossary or in footnotes.

Introduction

a) Motion in Support of Petition to Establish a Children's Playground in Oxford City Centre (proposed by Cllr. Katherine Miles, seconded by Cllr. Emily Kerr)

a) Motion in Support of Petition to Establish a Children's Playground in Oxford City Centre (proposed by Cllr. Katherine Miles, seconded by Cllr. Emily Kerr)

This Council expresses its support for the principle of creating a children's playground in Oxford City Centre, in response to the Petition to Establish a Children's Playground.

A joint County and City Council project is currently underway in the city centre called Central Oxfordshire Movement and Place Framework (COMPF) due to report back in Spring 2025. COMPF is looking comprehensively at what could be done to improve the public realm in the city centre, building on the City Council's City Centre Action Plan. This Council notes that the project is a strategy document, and at this stage funding is not available to deliver all the projects it identifies.

This Council requests that the Cabinet Member(s) working on the COMPF together with the County Council, explore strategic options for a children's playground in the city centre as part of the drafting of the COMPF.





To: Council

Date: 25 November 2024

Report of: Head of Law and Governance

Title of Report: Motions and amendments received in accordance

with Council Procedure Rule 11.18

Councillors are asked to debate and reach conclusions

on the motions and amendment listed below in accordance with the Council's rules for debate.

The Constitution permits an hour for debate of these

motions.

Introduction

This document sets out motions received by the Head of Law and Governance in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 11.18 by the deadline of 1.00pm on 13 November 2024, as amended by the proposers.

All substantive amendments sent by councillors to the Head of Law and Governance by publication of the briefing note are also included below.

Unfamiliar terms are explained in the glossary or in footnotes.

Motions will be taken in turn from the Green, Independent Oxford Alliance, Oxford Community Independents, Oxford Independent Group, Real Independent, Labour, Liberal Democrat groups in that order.

Introduction

- a) Making Oxford a Truly Walkable City (Proposed by Cllr. Max Morris, Seconded by Cllr. Emily Kerr) [Amendment Proposed by Cllr. David Henwood, Seconded by Cllr. Ian Yeatman] [Amendment Proposed by Cllr. Simon Ottino, Seconded by Cllr. Asima Qayyum]
- b) Zero Emission Zone (Proposed by Cllr. David Henwood, Seconded by Cllr. Ian Yeatman) [Amendment Proposed by Cllr. Chris Smowton, Seconded by Cllr. Laurence Fouweather]
- c) Promoting Free Shuttle Buses for Oxford (proposed by Cllr. Edward Mundy, Seconded by Cllr. Hosnieh Djafari-Marbini) [Amendment proposed by Cllr. Chris Smowton, Seconded by Cllr. Steve Goddard]
- d) Impacts of Traffic filter on small businesses in Oxford (Proposed by Cllr. Saj Malik, Seconded by Cllr. Mohammed Azad) [Amendment Proposed by Cllr. David Henwood, Seconded by Cllr. Anne Stares] [Amendment Proposed by Cllr. Ed Turner, Seconded by Cllr. Anna Railton]

- e) Keep Oxfordshire's Street Lights On (Proposed by Cllr. Lubna Arshad, Seconded by Cllr Jemima Hunt)
- A City Centre Play Park for Children (Proposed by Cllr. Katherine Miles, Seconded by Cllr. Emily Kerr)
- g) Drug Consumption Rooms (Proposed by Cllr. Chris Jarvis, Seconded by Cllr. Max Morris) [Amendment proposed by Cllr. Simon Ottino, Seconded by Cllr. Naomi Waite]
- h) Decent and Genuinely Affordable Housing for All (Proposed by Cllr. Linda Smith, Seconded by Cllr. Ed Turner)
- a) Making Oxford a Truly Walkable City (Proposed by Cllr. Max Morris, Seconded by Cllr. Emily Kerr) [Amendment Proposed by Cllr. David Henwood, Seconded by Clir. Ian Yeatman] [Amendment Proposed by Clir. Simon Ottino, Seconded by Cllr. Asima Qayyum]

Green Group Motion

Council notes

- Oxford was recently named as the UK's most walkable city, due to the small distances between key tourist attractions.¹
- 2. The 2021 Census showed that 22% of Oxford residents walk to work, making walking the modal choice for more commuting residents than cycling at 17% or bus at 15%.²
- 3. Studies show walking significantly improves both physical and mental health outcomes, and promotes longer and higher quality lives.³
- 4. Research suggests lower income households over-represented by 'female heads of house, children, young and older people, black and minority ethnic (BME) and disabled people' - rely on walking more often due to not owning a car.4
- 5. There are inexpensive walking-related initiatives across Oxfordshire which have been successful, such as Oxford's health walks and Bicester Blue Lines.5
- 6. Whilst some things which improve the pedestrian experience are County responsibilities there are others which the City Council has sole or joint responsibility for, such as new benches, water fountains, public toilets, and wayfinding.
- 7. The City Council could require residential dropped kerbs to be "Dutch style" entry kerbs, which keeps pavements flat and easier for wheelchair users.
- 8. Mapping work in London has provided councils with excellent dynamic local maps, allowing residents and visitors to walk more easily.6

¹ https://www.timeout.com/uk/news/the-uks-10-most-walkable-cities-have-been-revealed-052724#:~:text=Named%20the%20UK's%20most%20walkable,them%20in%20just%2022%20minutes

² https://www.oxford.gov.uk/news/article/883/majority-of-oxford-residents-commute-using-active-travel-orpublic-transport-new-census-data-shows

https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/exercise/walking-for-health/

⁴https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5c828f80ed915d07c9e363f7/future of mobility access.p df https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/downloads/download/1154/health-routes-in-bicester

⁶ https://footways.london/

- Pavement Parking is frequently named as one of the key issues facing pedestrians and wheelchair users. Government commissioned a report into this in 2020, and despite written questions and a debate, the results have not been published.⁷
- 10. Lambeth has pioneered an innovative "Kerbside Strategy" which prioritises walking, street trees, benches, and local businesses and will reallocate 25% of kerbside space to people.⁸

Council believes

- 1. Despite Oxford being a relatively small city with strong walking potential, the experience of walking or wheeling around the city needs urgent improvement.
- We could build on Oxford's small size and strong reputation for walking to have a cohesive strategy to improve the pedestrian experience for everyone - and this would be relatively inexpensive to do with enormous health and wellness benefits.

Council resolves:

- Noting that there could be financial and resourcing implications, meaning that implementation would be subject to a cabinet report setting out those implications, to request the Cabinet Member for Healthy Oxford:
 - a. discuss with officers the designing of a plan for improving walking in Oxford, based on local knowledge and existing research.
 - b. Works with the Cabinet Member for Zero Carbon and the Cabinet Member for Culture and officers to create a walkable map of Oxford for tourists and locals alike.
 - c. Writes to the new Transport Minister on behalf of Oxford City Council, urging rapid release of the 2020 pavement parking consultation
 - d. Proposes the idea of a "Kerbside Strategy for Oxford" to the County Council.
- 2. To request the Cabinet Member for Finance and Asset Management reviews opportunities to invest in public infrastructure to improve the city's walkability to enable bids in the budget process.
- 3. To request the Cabinet Member for Planning reviews mandating Dutch entry kerbs for residential drop kerb conversions planning conditions and considers this through the Equality Act 2010.

Independent Oxford Alliance Amendment

Making Oxford a Truly Walkable City Making Oxford more Accessible to the Able and Disabled.

Council notes

1. Oxford was recently named as the UK's most walkable city, due to the small distances between key tourist attractions.⁹

⁷ https://hansard.parliament.uk/commons/2023-03-13/debates/4479BB2C-49BD-47FD-9C76-5149A8A12DF7/PavementParking

⁸ https://www.lambeth.gov.uk/streets-roads-transport/lambeth-kerbside-strategy

- 2. The 2021 Census showed that 22% of Oxford residents walk to work, making walking the modal choice for more commuting residents than cycling at 17% or bus at 15%. ¹⁰
- 3. Studies show walking significantly improves both physical and mental health outcomes, and promotes longer and higher quality lives.¹¹
- 4. Research suggests lower income households over-represented by 'female heads of house, children, young and older people, black and minority ethnic (BME) and disabled people' rely on walking more often due to not owning a car. Research indicates that lower-income households, particularly those headed by women, families with children, the elderly, and marginalized groups such as Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) individuals and disabled people, are disproportionately reliant on walking as a primary mode of transportation. This trend is often driven by several intersecting factors, including the lack of car ownership, the unaffordability of adequate public transport options, and the inadequate provision of transit services in their neighbourhoods.
- 5. There are inexpensive walking-related initiatives across Oxfordshire which have been successful, such as Oxford's health walks and Bicester Blue Lines. 12
- Whilst some things which improve the pedestrian experience are County responsibilities there are others which the City Council has sole or joint responsibility for, such as new benches, water fountains, public toilets, and wayfinding.
- 7. The City Council could require new residential dropped kerbs to be "Dutch style" entry kerbs, maintaining a flat even pavement while providing a gentler entry/exit angle onto other surfaces making access easier for wheelchair users and mobility scooters. which keeps pavements flat and easier for wheelchair users.
- 8. Mapping work in London has provided councils with excellent dynamic local maps, allowing residents and visitors to walk more easily. 13
- Pavement Parking is frequently named as one of the key issues facing pedestrians and wheelchair and mobility scooter users. The Government commissioned a report into this in 2020, and despite written questions and a debate, the results have not been published.¹⁴
- 10. Lambeth has pioneered an innovative "Kerbside Strategy" which prioritises walking, street trees, benches, and local businesses and will reallocate 25% of kerbside space to people.¹⁵

Council believes

- 1. Despite Oxford being a relatively small city with strong walking potential, the experience of walking or wheeling around the city needs urgent improvement.
- 2. We could build on Oxford's small size and strong reputation for walking to have a cohesive strategy to improve the pedestrian experience for everyone and this

https://www.timeout.com/uk/news/the-uks-10-most-walkable-cities-have-been-revealed-052724#:~:text=Named%20the%20UK's%20most%20walkable,them%20in%20just%2022%20minutes. https://www.oxford.gov.uk/news/article/883/majority-of-oxford-residents-commute-using-active-travel-or-public-transport-new-census-data-shows

¹¹ https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/exercise/walking-for-health/

https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/downloads/download/1154/health-routes-in-bicester

¹³ https://footways.london/

https://hansard.parliament.uk/commons/2023-03-13/debates/4479BB2C-49BD-47FD-9C76-5149A8A12DF7/PavementParking

https://www.lambeth.gov.uk/streets-roads-transport/lambeth-kerbside-strategy

would be relatively inexpensive to do with enormous health and wellness benefits.

Council resolves:

- 1. Noting that there could be financial and resourcing implications, meaning that implementation would be subject to a cabinet report setting out those implications, To request the Cabinet Member for Healthy Oxford:
 - a. discuss with officers the designing of a plan for improving walking in Oxford, based on local knowledge and existing research.
 - b. Works with the Cabinet Member for Zero Carbon and the Cabinet Member for Culture and officers to create a walkable map of Oxford for tourists and locals alike.
 - c. Writes to the new Transport Minister on behalf of Oxford City Council, urging rapid release of the 2020 pavement parking consultation
 - d. Proposes the idea of a "Kerbside Strategy for Oxford" to the County Council.
- 2. To request the Cabinet Member for Finance and Asset Management reviews opportunities to invest in public infrastructure to improve the city's walkability to enable bids in the budget process.
- 3. To request the Cabinet Member for Planning reviews mandating Dutch entry kerbs for residential drop kerb conversions planning conditions and considers this through the Equality Act 2010.

If amended, the motion would read:

Making Oxford more Accessible to the Able and Disabled.

Council notes

 Oxford was recently named as the UK's most walkable city, due to the small distances between key tourist attractions.¹⁶

- The 2021 Census showed that 22% of Oxford residents walk to work, making walking the modal choice for more commuting residents than cycling at 17% or bus at 15%.¹⁷
- 3. Studies show walking significantly improves both physical and mental health outcomes, and promotes longer and higher quality lives. 18
- 4. Research indicates that lower-income households, particularly those headed by women, families with children, the elderly, and marginalized groups such as Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) individuals and disabled people, are disproportionately reliant on walking as a primary mode of transportation. This trend is often driven by several intersecting factors, including the lack of car ownership, the unaffordability of adequate public transport options, and the inadequate provision of transit services in their neighbourhoods.
- 5. There are inexpensive walking-related initiatives across Oxfordshire which have been successful, such as Oxford's health walks and Bicester Blue Lines. 19

https://www.oxford.gov.uk/news/article/883/majority-of-oxford-residents-commute-using-active-travel-or-public-transport-new-census-data-shows

¹⁶ https://www.timeout.com/uk/news/the-uks-10-most-walkable-cities-have-been-revealed-052724#:~:text=Named%20the%20UK's%20most%20walkable,them%20in%20just%2022%20minutes.

https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/exercise/walking-for-health/

- 6. Whilst some things which improve the pedestrian experience are County responsibilities there are others which the City Council has sole or joint responsibility for, such as new benches, water fountains, public toilets, and wayfinding.
- 7. The City Council could require new residential dropped kerbs to be "Dutch style" entry kerbs, maintaining a flat even pavement while providing a gentler entry/exit angle onto other surfaces making access easier for wheelchair users and mobility scooters.
- 8. Mapping work in London has provided councils with excellent dynamic local maps, allowing residents and visitors to walk more easily.²⁰
- 9. Pavement Parking is frequently named as one of the key issues facing pedestrians and wheelchair and mobility scooter users. The Government commissioned a report into this in 2020, and despite written questions and a debate, the results have not been published.²¹
- 10. Lambeth has pioneered an innovative "Kerbside Strategy" which prioritises walking, street trees, benches, and local businesses and will reallocate 25% of kerbside space to people.²²

Council believes

- 1. Despite Oxford being a relatively small city with strong walking potential, the experience of walking or wheeling around the city needs urgent improvement.
- We could build on Oxford's small size and strong reputation for walking to have a cohesive strategy to improve the pedestrian experience for everyone - and this would be relatively inexpensive to do with enormous health and wellness benefits.

Council resolves:

- 1. Noting that there could be financial and resourcing implications, meaning that implementation would be subject to a cabinet report setting out those implications, To request the Cabinet Member for Healthy Oxford:
 - a. discuss with officers the designing of a plan for improving walking in Oxford, based on local knowledge and existing research.
 - b. Works with the Cabinet Member for Zero Carbon and the Cabinet Member for Culture and officers to create a walkable map of Oxford for tourists and locals alike.
 - c. Writes to the new Transport Minister on behalf of Oxford City Council, urging rapid release of the 2020 pavement parking consultation
 - d. Proposes the idea of a "Kerbside Strategy for Oxford" to the County Council.
- 2. To request the Cabinet Member for Finance and Asset Management reviews opportunities to invest in public infrastructure to improve the city's walkability to enable bids in the budget process.
- To request the Cabinet Member for Planning reviews mandating Dutch entry kerbs for residential drop kerb conversions planning conditions and considers this through the Equality Act 2010.

¹⁹ https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/downloads/download/1154/health-routes-in-bicester

https://footways.london/

_

https://hansard.parliament.uk/commons/2023-03-13/debates/4479BB2C-49BD-47FD-9C76-

⁵¹⁴⁹A8A12DF7/PavementParking

² https://www.lambeth.gov.uk/streets-roads-transport/lambeth-kerbside-strategy

Labour Group Amendment

Council notes

- 1. Oxford was recently named as the UK's most walkable city, due to the small distances between key tourist attractions.²³
- 2. The 2021 Census showed that 22% of Oxford residents walk to work, making walking the modal choice for more commuting residents than cycling at 17% or bus at 15%.²⁴
- 3. Studies show walking significantly improves both physical and mental health outcomes, and promotes longer and higher quality lives.²⁵
- 4. Research suggests lower income households over-represented by 'female heads of house, children, young and older people, black and minority ethnic (BME) and disabled people' - rely on walking more often due to not owning a car.²⁶
- 5. There are inexpensive walking-related initiatives across Oxfordshire which have been successful, such as Oxford's health walks and Bicester Blue Lines.
- 6. Whilst some things which improve the pedestrian experience are County responsibilities there are others which the City Council has sole or joint responsibility for, such as new benches, water fountains, public toilets, and wayfinding.
- 7. The City Council could require residential dropped kerbs to be "Dutch style" entry kerbs, which keeps pavements flat and easier for wheelchair users.
- 8. Mapping work in London has provided councils with excellent dynamic local maps. allowing residents and visitors to walk more easily.²⁷
- 9. Pavement Parking is frequently named as one of the key issues facing pedestrians and wheelchair users. Government commissioned a report into this in 2020, and despite written questions and a debate, the results have not been published.²⁸
- 10. Lambeth has pioneered an innovative "Kerbside Strategy" which prioritises walking, street trees, benches, and local businesses and will reallocate 25% of kerbside space to people.²⁹
- 11. Residents are deterred from walking at night when inadequate street lighting makes them feel unsafe
- 12. When hedges and verges overgrow pavements, this deters pedestrians.
- 13. Walking is often closely linked to use of public transport and when bus routes are lost or made more challenging to use, this further deters pedestrians.

Council believes

1. Despite Oxford being a relatively small city with strong walking potential, the experience of walking or wheeling around the our city needs urgent improvement.

²³ https://www.timeout.com/uk/news/the-uks-10-most-walkable-cities-have-been-revealed-052724#:~:text=Named%20the%20UK's%20most%20walkable,them%20in%20just%2022%20minutes.

https://www.oxford.gov.uk/news/article/883/majority-of-oxford-residents-commute-using-active-travelor-public-transport-new-census-data-shows

https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/exercise/walking-for-health/

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5c828f80ed915d07c9e363f7/future of mobility access.p

df https://footways.london/ https://hansard.parliament.uk/commons/2023-03-13/debates/4479BB2C-49BD-47FD-9C76-5149A8A12DF7/PavementParking

https://www.lambeth.gov.uk/streets-roads-transport/lambeth-kerbside-strategy

2. We could build on Oxford's small size and strong reputation for walking to have a cohesive strategy to improve the pedestrian experience for everyone - and this would be relatively inexpensive to do with enormous health and wellness benefits.

Council resolves:

- 1. Noting that there could be the financial and resourcing implications, meanings that implementation would be subject to a cabinet report setting them out those implications, To request the Cabinet Member for Healthy Oxford:
 - a. discuss with officers the designing of a plan for improving walking in Oxford, based on local knowledge and existing research.
 - b. Works with the Cabinet Member for Zero Carbon and the Cabinet Member for Culture and officers to create a walkable map of Oxford for tourists and locals alike.
 - c. Writes to the new Transport Minister on behalf of Oxford City Council, urging rapid release of the 2020 pavement parking consultation
 - d. Proposes the idea of a "Kerbside Strategy for Oxford" to the County Council.
 - e. Writes to the relevant County Council cabinet members asking them to review their policies on hedge and verge cutting, to abandon their ideas on reducing street lighting, and to put in safe crossings where bus stops in the City can only be reached by crossing 40mph roads.
- 2. To request the Cabinet Member for Finance and Asset Management reviews opportunities to invest in public infrastructure to improve the city's walkability to enable bids in the budget process.
- 3. To request the Cabinet Member for Planning reviews mandating Dutch entry kerbs for residential drop kerb conversions planning conditions and considers this through the Equality Act 2010

If amended, the motion would read:

Council notes

- 1. Oxford was recently named as the UK's most walkable city. 30
- 2. The 2021 Census showed that 22% of Oxford residents walk to work, making walking the modal choice for more commuting residents than cycling at 17% or bus at 15%.31
- 3. Studies show walking significantly improves both physical and mental health outcomes.32
- 4. Research suggests lower income households over-represented by 'female heads of house, children, young and older people, black and minority ethnic (BME) and disabled people' - rely on walking more often due to not owning a car.33
- 5. There are inexpensive walking-related initiatives across Oxfordshire which have been successful.34

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5c828f80ed915d07c9e363f7/future of mobility access.p df https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/downloads/download/1154/health-routes-in-bicester

³⁰ https://www.timeout.com/uk/news/the-uks-10-most-walkable-cities-have-been-revealed-052724#:~:text=Named%20the%20UK's%20most%20walkable,them%20in%20just%2022%20minutes.

https://www.oxford.gov.uk/news/article/883/majority-of-oxford-residents-commute-using-active-travelor-public-transport-new-census-data-shows

https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/exercise/walking-for-health/

- 6. The City Council could require residential dropped kerbs to be "Dutch style" entry kerbs, which keeps pavements flat and easier for wheelchair users.
- 7. Mapping work in London has provided councils with dynamic local maps, allowing residents and visitors to walk more easily.³⁵
- Pavement Parking is named as one of the key issues facing pedestrians and wheelchair users. Government commissioned a report into this in 2020, and despite written questions and a debate, the results have not been published.³⁶
- Lambeth has pioneered an innovative "Kerbside Strategy" which prioritises walking and will reallocate 25% of kerbside space to people.³⁷
- 10. Residents are deterred from walking at night when inadequate street lighting makes them feel unsafe
- 11. When hedges and verges overgrow pavements, this deters pedestrians.
- 12. Walking is often closely linked to use of public transport and when bus routes are lost or made more challenging to use, this further deters pedestrians

Council believes

- 1. The experience of walking or wheeling around our city needs urgent improvement.
- 2. We could build on Oxford's strong reputation for walking to have a cohesive strategy to improve the pedestrian experience for everyone.

Council resolves:

- Noting the financial and resourcing implications, means that implementation would be subject to a cabinet report setting them out, To request the Cabinet Member for Healthy Oxford:
 - a. discuss with officers the designing of a plan for improving walking in Oxford.
 - b. Works with other Cabinet Members and officers to create a walkable map of Oxford for tourists and locals alike.
 - c. Writes to the new Transport Minister, urging rapid release of the 2020 pavement parking consultation
 - d. Proposes the idea of a "Kerbside Strategy for Oxford" to the County Council.
 - e. Writes to the relevant County Council cabinet members asking them to review their policies on hedge and verge cutting, to abandon their ideas on reducing street lighting, and to put in safe crossings where bus stops in the City can only be reached by crossing 40mph roads.
- 2. To request the Cabinet Member for Finance and Asset Management reviews opportunities to invest in public infrastructure to improve the city's walkability to enable bids in the budget process.
- 3. To request the Cabinet Member for Planning reviews mandating Dutch entry kerbs for residential drop kerb conversions planning conditions and considers this through the Equality Act 2010.
- b) Zero Emission Zone (Proposed by Cllr. David Henwood, Seconded by Cllr. lan Yeatman) [Amendment Proposed by Cllr. Chris Smowton, Seconded by Cllr. Laurence Fouweather]

³⁵ https://footways.london/

⁻

https://hansard.parliament.uk/commons/2023-03-13/debates/4479BB2C-49BD-47FD-9C76-

⁵¹⁴⁹A8A12DF7/PavementParking

¹⁷ https://www.lambeth.gov.uk/streets-roads-transport/lambeth-kerbside-strategy

Independent Oxford Alliance Group Motion

In February 2024, Oxfordshire County Council committed to spending £5.2m³⁸ developing plans to expand Oxford's existing Zero Emission Zone (ZEZ). Although previously agreed in principle as part of the Central Oxfordshire Travel Plan³⁹, Oxfordshire County Council has not yet committed to proceeding with the ZEZ expansion.⁴⁰

Each year, Oxford City Council produces an Air Quality Annual Status Report (AQASR)⁴¹. The report includes a detailed account of NO2 pollution levels, across numerous monitoring stations in Oxford and surrounding areas in the preceding year. The report also includes a commentary and analysis of themes relating to NO2 pollution in Oxford, such as the impact of the current ZEZ and Low Traffic Neighbourhoods on NO2 pollution levels.

Given the current development work taking place by Oxfordshire County Council in relation to the planned ZEZ expansion, it could be useful to draw on the insights collected as part of the AQASR publication process to produce an in-depth analysis of NO2 pollution levels in the planned ZEZ expansion zone.

Oxford City Council's policy on NO2 pollution is that the local annual mean NO2 target for Oxford should be 30 μ g/m³ by 2025⁴², which reflects "step two" of the WHO's updated interim target for NO2.

Oxford City Council notes that Oxford is currently in the process of taking delivery of numerous new electric buses, which have the potential to significantly reduce pollution in and around Oxford city centre⁴³.

The Council:

- Believes it would be useful for Oxford City Council to publish a (non-statutory) supplement to its already-published 2023 AQASR. This supplement would help provide the public with the council's baseline analysis of NO2 pollution levels in the planned ZEZ expansion zone, reusing existing data.
- Further believes that subsequent AQASRs should also include an in-depth analysis of NO2 pollution levels in the planned ZEZ expansion zone.
- Collectively, these analyses would assist Oxford City Council in deciding whether – in the council's view – the ZEZ expansion remains objectively justified as a policy that the City Council should continue to endorse.

³⁸

 $[\]underline{https://mycouncil.oxfordshire.gov.uk/documents/s70023/Section\%205.4\%20Capital\%20Programme\%20Council\%20F \\ \underline{eb\%2024.pdf}$

https://mycouncil.oxfordshire.gov.uk/ieDecisionDetails.aspx?AlId=26981

www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/residents/roads-and-transport/connecting-oxfordshire/city-centre-zez#:~:text=A%20small%20pilot%20ZEZ%20became,assessments%2C%20engagement%20and%20formal%20consultation

⁴¹ www.oxford.gov.uk/air-quality-data/air-quality-annual-status-reports

www.oxford.gov.uk/air-quality-management/air-quality-action-plan

⁴³ www.oxford.gov.uk/news/article/1394/oxford-launches-major-all-electric-city-bus-fleet

The Council therefore request that Cabinet ask those officers responsible for producing the Oxford City Council's AQASR to:

- Publish a supplement to 2023 AQASR (within three months of the date of this motion), which includes a detailed data table setting out the verified average NO2 pollution levels between 2019 and 2023, for each pollution monitoring station within the proposed ZEZ expansion zone.
- To provide equivalent data in the 2024 AQASR, and in future years.
- To ensure this data is accompanied by a detailed analysis of recent NO2 pollution trends within the planned ZEZ expansion zone, where reasonably possible, including an analysis of whether NO2 pollution within the proposed ZEZ expansion zone have yet fallen to Oxford City Council's 30µg/m³ by 2025 target.

Council also asks Cabinet to consider not taking any decision about revenue and cost sharing arrangements with Oxfordshire County Council beyond the one agreed for the pilot (should they come forward) until the initial ZEZ pollution analysis has been completed.

Liberal Democrat Group Amendment

In February 2024, Oxfordshire County Council committed to spending £5.2m developing plans to expand budgeted up to £5.2m for expansion of Oxford's existing Zero Emission Zone (ZEZ) which it intends to encourage switching to zero- and low-emission vehicles (considering both pollutants and carbon emissions) as well as encouraging other positive changes to travel behaviour. Although previously agreed in principle as part of the Central Oxfordshire Travel Plan⁴⁴, Oxfordshire County Council has not yet committed to proceeding with the ZEZ expansion.⁴⁵

Each year, Oxford City Council produces an Air Quality Annual Status Report (AQASR). The report includes a detailed account of NO2 pollution levels The report includes a detailed account of pollution levels (including NO2, particulate matter and ozone), across numerous monitoring stations in Oxford and surrounding areas in the preceding year. The report also includes a commentary and analysis of themes relating to NO2 pollution in Oxford, such as the impact of the current ZEZ and Low Traffic Neighbourhoods on NO2 pollution levels.

Given the current development work taking place by Oxfordshire County Council in relation to the planned ZEZ expansion, it could be useful to draw on the insights collected as part of the AQASR publication process to produce an in-depth analysis of NO2 pollution levels in the planned ZEZ expansion zone.

-

⁴⁴ https://mycouncil.oxfordshire.gov.uk/ieDecisionDetails.aspx?AIId=26981

https://www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/residents/roads-and-transport/connecting-oxfordshire/city-centre-zez#:~:text=A%20small%20pilot%20ZEZ%20became,assessments%2C%20engagement%20and%20formal%20consultation

⁴⁶ https://www.oxford.gov.uk/air-quality-data/air-quality-annual-status-reports

Oxford City Council's policy on NO2 pollution is that the local annual mean NO2 target for Oxford should be 30 μ g/m³ by 2025⁴⁷, which reflects "step two" of the WHO's updated interim target for NO2.

Oxford City Council notes that Oxford is currently in the process of taking delivery of numerous new electric buses, which have the potential to significantly reduce pollution in and around Oxford city centre. 48

The Council:

- Believes it would be useful for Oxford City Council to publish a (non-statutory) supplement to its already-published 2023 AQASR. This supplement would help provide the public with the council's baseline analysis of NO2 pollution levels in the planned ZEZ expansion zone, reusing existing data.
- Further believes that subsequent AQASRs should also include an in-depth analysis of NO2 pollution levels in the planned ZEZ expansion zone.
- Collectively, these analyses, taken together with projected carbon emission impact, projected effects on travel behaviour and other relevant considerations, would assist Oxford City Council in deciding whether – in the council's view – the ZEZ expansion remains objectively justified as a policy that the City Council should continue to endorse.

Council therefore request that Cabinet ask those officers responsible for producing the Oxford City Council's AQASR to:

- Publish a supplement to 2023 AQASR (within three months of the date of this motion), which includes a detailed data table setting out the verified average NO2 pollution levels between 2019 and 2023, for each pollution monitoring station within the proposed ZEZ expansion zone.
- To provide equivalent data in the 2024 AQASR, and in future years.
- To ensure this data is accompanied by a detailed analysis of recent NO2 pollution trends within the planned ZEZ expansion zone, where reasonably possible, including an analysis of whether NO2 pollution within the proposed ZEZ expansion zone have yet fallen to Oxford City Council's 30µg/m³ by 2025 target.

Council also asks Cabinet to consider not taking any decision about revenue and cost sharing arrangements with Oxfordshire County Council beyond the one agreed for the pilot (should they come forward) until the initial ZEZ pollution analysis has been completed.

If amended, the motion would read:

In February 2024, Oxfordshire County Council budgeted up to £5.2m for expansion of Oxford's existing Zero Emission Zone (ZEZ), which it intends to encourage switching to zero and low-emission vehicles (considering both pollutants and carbon emissions), as well as encouraging other positive changes to travel behaviour. Although previously

⁴⁷ https://www.oxford.gov.uk/air-quality-management/air-quality-action-plan

⁴⁸ https://www.oxford.gov.uk/news/article/1394/oxford-launches-major-all-electric-city-bus-fleet

agreed in principle as part of the Central Oxfordshire Travel Plan⁴⁹, Oxfordshire County Council has not yet committed to proceeding with the ZEZ expansion. 50

Each year, Oxford City Council produces an Air Quality Annual Status Report (AQASR). ⁵¹ The report includes a detailed account of pollution levels (including NO2, particulate matter and ozone), across numerous monitoring stations in Oxford and surrounding areas in the preceding year. The report also includes a commentary and analysis of themes relating to pollution in Oxford, such as the impact of the current ZEZ and Low Traffic Neighbourhoods on pollution levels.

Given the current development work taking place by Oxfordshire County Council in relation to the planned ZEZ expansion, it could be useful to draw on the insights collected as part of the AQASR publication process to produce an in-depth analysis of pollution levels in the planned ZEZ expansion zone.

Oxford City Council's policy on NO2 pollution is that the local annual mean NO2 target for Oxford should be 30 µg/m³ by 2025⁵², which reflects "step two" of the WHO's updated interim target for NO2.

Oxford City Council notes that Oxford is currently in the process of taking delivery of numerous new electric buses, which have the potential to significantly reduce pollution in and around Oxford city centre.⁵³

The Council:

- Believes it would be useful for Oxford City Council to publish a (non-statutory) supplement to its already-published 2023 AQASR. This supplement would help provide the public with the council's baseline analysis of pollution levels in the planned ZEZ expansion zone, reusing existing data.
- Further believes that subsequent AQASRs should also include an in-depth analysis of pollution levels in the planned ZEZ expansion zone.
- Collectively, these analyses, taken together with projected carbon emission impact. projected effects on travel behaviour and other relevant considerations, would assist Oxford City Council in deciding whether – in the council's view – the ZEZ expansion remains objectively justified as a policy that the City Council should continue to endorse, subject to a report from Cabinet with implications for finances and resources.

The Council therefore request that Cabinet ask those officers responsible for producing the Oxford City Council's AQASR to:

Publish a supplement to 2023 AQASR (within three months of the date of this motion), which includes a detailed data table setting out the verified average pollution levels between 2019 and 2023, for each pollution monitoring station within the proposed ZEZ expansion zone.

https://www.oxford.gov.uk/news/article/1394/oxford-launches-major-all-electric-city-bus-fleet

⁴⁹ https://mycouncil.oxfordshire.gov.uk/ieDecisionDetails.aspx?AIId=26981

https://www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/residents/roads-and-transport/connecting-oxfordshire/city-centrezez#:~:text=A%20small%20pilot%20ZEZ%20became,assessments%2C%20engagement%20and%20formal%20cons ultation

https://www.oxford.gov.uk/air-quality-data/air-quality-annual-status-reports

https://www.oxford.gov.uk/air-quality-management/air-quality-action-plan

- To provide equivalent data in the 2024 AQASR, and in future years.
- To ensure this data is accompanied by a detailed analysis of recent pollution trends within the planned ZEZ expansion zone, where reasonably possible, including an analysis of whether NO2 pollution within the proposed ZEZ expansion zone have yet fallen to Oxford City Council's 30µg/m³ by 2025 target.

c) Promoting Free Shuttle Buses for Oxford (proposed by Cllr. Edward Mundy, Seconded by Cllr. Hosnieh Djafari-Marbini) [Amendment proposed by Cllr. Chris Smowton, Seconded by Cllr. Steve Goddard]

Oxford Community Independent Group Motion

Under our Local Plan we strive for an economy that works for all, this includes new jobs and employment sites, including at our hospitals.⁵⁴ But, where services do not meet new demands, we can worsen local inequalities and accessibility. Traffic congestion holds up all road users, including those on buses, and is an increased risk to vulnerable road users and pedestrians. Visitors to hospital often find journeys impacted by congestion, potentially missing appointments. Employees at our hospitals often do shift work, which can involve start and finish times very late and/or very early, when public buses are less frequent. Current hospital shuttles between John Radcliffe and Churchill have limited hours (Monday to Friday only) and are strictly for hospital staff only⁵⁵. The cost of living in Oxford has bitten particularly hard in recent years. With housing costs so high⁵⁶ many of our workers are commuting from local townsⁱ⁵⁷, where direct bus routes to hospitals may be rare or non-existent.

A way around this is to promote a new provision of shuttle buses. These should be starting at our Park and Ride (P&R) sites, for example Thornhill, and make direct journeys to John Radcliffe Hospital. A separate shuttle should be serving the hospitals along Old Road: Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Churchill Hospital and Warneford Hospital. These shuttles should be cashless, with no upfront costs to the traveller, providing a clear incentive to any visitor or employee at the sites to take the bus rather than drive the final couple of miles from the ring road. Due to minimal stopping at bus stops along the route the shuttles will have fast journey times and will provide very little competition with the key bus routes into the city. Oxford City Council will need to work with the transport authority at Oxfordshire County, local bus companies and local employment sites to get this approved and funded, but the benefits for all could be considerable. With success, the provision of shuttle buses could be extended to run from other P&R sites, including those run by Oxfordshire County Council.

This Council resolves to:

⁵⁴ https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-oxfordshire-68623948#:~:text=%22The%20site%20is%20badly%20served,commute%20by%20car%2C%20councillors%20hear

https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/24219343.oxford-housing-affordability-remains-unchanged-year/ ⁵⁷ https://www.oxford.gov.uk/downloads/file/3580/exam-11---matter-2-action-point-2-response---hearings-note-

¹⁻⁻⁻commuting---updated-post-hearings

- Ask the cabinet member for transport liaison, Cllr Upton, to write to the County Council cabinet member for transport, Cllr Gant:
 - o referencing our motion and expressing the need for new transport services to provide for hospital sites.
 - Emphasising the important benefit of keeping the services free at the point of use. This is of most profound benefit to those on lowest incomes and gives the best incentive to use the service.
- Ask the cabinet member for Transport, Cllr Upton, to liaise with the key relevant stake holders, including local bus company operators, the teams running our P&R sites, the County Council and the key sites (hospitals), for support and funding.

<u>Liberal Democrat Group Amendment</u>

Under our Local Plan we strive for an economy that works for all, this includes new jobs and employment sites, including at our hospitals.⁵⁸ But, where services do not meet new demands, we can worsen local inequalities and accessibility. Traffic congestion holds up all road users, including those on buses, and is an increased risk to vulnerable road users and pedestrians. Visitors to hospital often find journeys impacted by congestion,⁵⁹ potentially missing appointments. Employees at our hospitals often do shift work, which can involve start and finish times very late and/or very early, when public buses are less frequent. Current hospital shuttles between John Radcliffe and Churchill have limited hours (Monday to Friday only) and are strictly for hospital staff only.⁶⁰ The cost of living in Oxford has bitten particularly hard in recent years. With housing costs so high⁶¹ many of our workers are commuting from local towns, where direct bus routes to hospitals may be rare or non-existent.

A way around this is to promote a new provision of shuttle buses. These should be starting at our Park and Ride (P&R) sites, for example Thornhill, and make direct journeys to John Radcliffe Hospital. A separate shuttle should be serving the hospitals along Old Road: Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Churchill Hospital and Warneford Hospital. These shuttles should be cashless These shuttles should be free to ride, with no upfront costs to the traveller, providing a clear incentive to any visitor or employee at the sites to take the bus rather than drive the final couple of miles from the ring road. Due to minimal stopping at bus stops along the route the shuttles will have fast journey times and will provide very little competition with the key bus routes into the city. Oxford City Council will need to work with the transport authority at Oxfordshire County, local bus companies and local employment sites to get this approved and funded, but the benefits for all could be considerable. With success, the provision of shuttle buses

https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-oxfordshire-68623948#:~:text=%22The%20site%20is%20badly%20served,commute%20by%20car%2C%20councillors%20hear

d.
59 https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/17998688.complaints-parking-congestion-oxford-hospitals/
https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-oxfordshire68623948#:~:text=%22The%20site%20is%20badly%20served,commute%20by%20car%2C%20councillors%20hear

https://www.rdm.ox.ac.uk/intranet/contacts-and-maps/travel-between-the-john-radcliffe-and-churchill-hospitals

⁶¹ https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/24219343.oxford-housing-affordability-remains-unchanged-year/

could be extended to run from other P&R sites, including those run by Oxfordshire County Council.

This Council resolves to:

- Ask the cabinet member for transport liaison, Cllr Upton, to write to the County Council cabinet member for transport, Cllr Gant:
 - referencing our motion and expressing the need for new transport services to provide for hospital sites.
 - Emphasising the important benefit of keeping the services free at the point of use. This is of most profound benefit to those on lowest incomes and gives the best incentive to use the service.
- Ask the cabinet member for Transport, Cllr Upton, to liaise with the key relevant stake holders, including local bus company operators, the teams running our P&R sites, the County Council and the key sites (hospitals), for support and funding.
- Ask the Leader to write to the Transport Secretary expressing the pressing need for extra funding from central government to allow local authorities to deliver schemes such as those advocated in this motion.

If approved, the motion would read:

Under our Local Plan we strive for an economy that works for all, this includes new jobs and employment sites, including at our hospitals. But, where services do not meet new demands, we can worsen local inequalities and accessibility. Traffic congestion holds up all road users, including those on buses, and is an increased risk to vulnerable road users and pedestrians. Visitors to hospital often find journeys impacted by congestion potentially missing appointments. Employees at our hospitals often do shift work, which can involve start and finish times very late and/or very early, when public buses are less frequent. Current hospital shuttles between John Radcliffe and Churchill have limited hours (Monday to Friday only) and are strictly for hospital staff only. The cost of living in Oxford has bitten particularly hard in recent years. With housing costs so high many of our workers are commuting from local towns where direct bus routes to hospitals may be rare or non-existent.

A way around this is to promote a new provision of shuttle buses. These should be starting at our Park and Ride (P&R) sites, for example Thornhill, and make direct journeys to John Radcliffe Hospital. A separate shuttle should be serving the hospitals along Old Road: Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Churchill Hospital and Warneford Hospital. These shuttles should be free to ride, with no upfront costs to the traveller, providing a clear incentive to any visitor or employee at the sites to take the bus rather than drive the final couple of miles from the ring road. Due to minimal stopping at bus

⁶² https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-oxfordshire-

^{68623948#:~:}text=%22The%20site%20is%20badly%20served,commute%20by%20car%2C%20councillors%20hear

<u>d.</u>
63 https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/17998688.complaints-parking-congestion-oxford-hospitals/https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-oxfordshire-

 $[\]underline{68623948\#:^{\sim}: text=\%22 The\%20 site\%20 is\%20 badly\%20 served, commute\%20 by\%20 car\%2C\%20 councillors\%20 heard.}$

⁶⁴ https://www.rdm.ox.ac.uk/intranet/contacts-and-maps/travel-between-the-john-radcliffe-and-churchill-hospitals

https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/24219343.oxford-housing-affordability-remains-unchanged-year/
https://www.oxford.gov.uk/downloads/file/3580/exam-11---matter-2-action-point-2-response---hearings-note-1---commuting---updated-post-hearings

stops along the route the shuttles will have fast journey times and will provide very little competition with the key bus routes into the city. Oxford City Council will need to work with the transport authority at Oxfordshire County, local bus companies and local employment sites to get this approved and funded, but the benefits for all could be considerable. With success, the provision of shuttle buses could be extended to run from other P&R sites, including those run by Oxfordshire County Council.

This Council resolves to:

- Ask the cabinet member for transport liaison, Cllr Upton, to write to the County Council cabinet member for transport, Cllr Gant:
 - referencing our motion and expressing the need for new transport services to provide for hospital sites.
 - Emphasising the important benefit of keeping the services free at the point of use. This is of most profound benefit to those on lowest incomes and gives the best incentive to use the service.
- Ask the cabinet member for Transport, Cllr Upton, to liaise with the key relevant stake holders, including local bus company operators, the teams running our P&R sites, the County Council and the key sites (hospitals), for support and funding.
- Ask the Leader to write to the Transport Secretary expressing the pressing need for extra funding from central government to allow local authorities to deliver schemes such as those advocated in this motion.
- d) Impacts of Traffic filter on small businesses in Oxford (Proposed by Cllr. Saj Malik, Seconded by Cllr. Mohammed Azad) [Amendment Proposed by Cllr. David Henwood, Seconded by Cllr. Anne Stares] [Amendment Proposed by Cllr. Ed Turner, Seconded by Cllr. Anna Railton]

Real Independent Group Motion

The Council notes that Oxfordshire County Council refuses to commit to a thorough economic impact assessment of the likely effects of the traffic filters on Oxford's small business community, instead focusing solely on the dry data of just two metrics: Mastercard spend and footfall.

The City Council believes that these metrics fail to offer an accurate assessment of the economic impact on small businesses which would be better evaluated by reference to turnover, staff numbers and comprehensive face to face business forums.

- This Council therefore resolves as follows:
 - The request that the Leader of the Council bring forward for consideration by Cabinet proposals to commission and publish its own independent survey of Oxford's businesses with regard to the economic impact of the traffic filters with particular reference to businesses' turnover and staff numbers impact, mainly established via Oxford City Council-organised surveys and face-to-face business forums across the city, which will help the council properly understand the impact."
 - That the Cabinet Member for Leader of the Council further commits to the Council working closely with existing Oxford small business groups in order to maximise the response of the survey.

Independent Oxford Alliance Group Amendment

The Council notes that Oxfordshire County Council refuses to commit to a thorough economic impact assessment of the likely effects of the traffic filters on Oxford's small business community, instead focusing solely on the dry data of just two metrics: Mastercard spend and footfall.

The City Council believes that these metrics fail to offer an accurate assessment of the economic impact on small businesses which would be better evaluated by reference to turnover, staff numbers and comprehensive face to face business forums.

This Council therefore resolves as follows:

- The request that the Leader of the Council bring forward for consideration by Cabinet proposals to commission and publish its own independent survey of Oxford's businesses with regard to the economic impact of the traffic filters with particular reference to businesses' turnover and staff numbers impact, mainly established via Oxford City Council-organised surveys and face-toface business forums across the city, which will help the council properly understand the impact." In light of the ongoing implementation of traffic filters in Oxford, the Council requests the Leader of the Council to bring forth proposals to the Cabinet that would facilitate the commissioning and publication of an independent survey focused on the economic impact of these changes on local businesses. Such a survey would provide a comprehensive overview of how traffic filters influence key factors such as business turnover, employee retention, and customer accessibility. By leveraging insights from Oxford City Council-organised surveys and engaging in face-to-face business forums across the city, the Council can gain a nuanced understanding of the realities businesses face under the new traffic regulations. This proactive approach will not only help to identify challenges but also highlight potential areas for support and improvement, ensuring that the voices of local business owners are prioritized in the assessment process.
- That the Cabinet Member for Leader of the Council further commits to the Council working closely with existing Oxford small business groups in order to maximise the response of the survey.

If amended, the motion would read:

The Council notes that Oxfordshire County Council refuses to commit to a thorough economic impact assessment of the likely effects of the traffic filters on Oxford's small business community, instead focusing solely on the dry data of just two metrics: Mastercard spend and footfall.

The City Council believes that these metrics fail to offer an accurate assessment of the economic impact on small businesses which would be better evaluated by reference to turnover, staff numbers and comprehensive face to face business forums. This Council therefore resolves as follows:

In light of the ongoing implementation of traffic filters in Oxford, the Council
requests the Leader of the Council to forth proposals to the Cabinet that
would facilitate the commissioning and publication of an independent survey
focused on the economic impact of these changes on local businesses. Such
a survey would provide a comprehensive overview of how traffic filters
influence key factors such as business turnover, employee retention, and
customer accessibility. By leveraging insights from Oxford City Council-

organised surveys and engaging in face-to-face business forums across the city, the Council can gain a nuanced understanding of the realities businesses face under the new traffic regulations. This proactive approach will not only help to identify challenges but also highlight potential areas for support and improvement, ensuring that the voices of local business owners are prioritized in the assessment process.

 That the Cabinet Member for Leader of the Council further commits to the Council working closely with existing Oxford small business groups in order to maximise the response of the survey.

Labour Group Amendment

The Council notes that Oxfordshire County Council refuses to commit to a thorough economic impact assessment of the likely effects of the traffic filters on Oxford's small business community, instead focusing solely on the dry data of just two metrics: Mastercard spend and footfall.

The City Council believes that these metrics fail to offer an accurate assessment of the economic impact on small businesses which would be better evaluated by reference to turnover, staff numbers and comprehensive face to face business forums.

This Council therefore resolves as follows:

- The request that the Leader of the Council bring forward for consideration by
 Cabinet proposals to commission and publish its own independent survey of
 Oxford's businesses with regard to the economic impact of the traffic filters,
 funded by Oxfordshire County Council, with particular reference to businesses'
 turnover and staff numbers impact, mainly established via Oxford City Councilorganised surveys and face-to-face business forums across the city, which will
 help the council properly understand the impact."
- That the Cabinet Member for Leader of the Council further commits to the Council working closely with existing Oxford small business groups in order to maximise the response of the survey.

If amended, the motion would read:

The Council notes that Oxfordshire County Council refuses to commit to a thorough economic impact assessment of the likely effects of the traffic filters on Oxford's small business community, instead focusing solely on the dry data of just two metrics: Mastercard spend and footfall.

The City Council believes that these metrics fail to offer an accurate assessment of the economic impact on small businesses which would be better evaluated by reference to turnover, staff numbers and comprehensive face to face business forums.

This Council therefore resolves as follows:

 The request that the Leader of the Council bring forward for consideration by Cabinet proposals to commission and publish its own independent survey of Oxford's businesses with regard to the economic impact of the traffic filters, funded by Oxfordshire County Council, with particular reference to businesses' turnover and staff numbers impact, mainly established via Oxford City Council-organised surveys and face-to-face business forums across the city, which will help the council properly understand the impact."

 That the Cabinet Member for Leader of the Council further commits to the Council working closely with existing Oxford small business groups in order to maximise the response of the survey.

e) <u>Keep Oxfordshire's Street Lights On (Proposed by Cllr. Lubna Arshad, Seconded by Cllr Jemima Hunt)</u>

Labour Group Motion

Council notes:

- Well-lit streets are a key component to our community's safety, security, and well-being.
- According to the Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents, an approximate 40% of all vehicle collisions occur at night time. Residents in nearby Warwickshire and Northamptonshire, where street lights have been off for some years in the early hours, have reported feeling less safe at night due to the change.
- The Liberal Democrat and Green Party-led Oxfordshire County Council want to turn out street lights across the county at 11pm, with some exceptions as detailed here. If implemented, this decision would be carried out with just a week's notice being given to the general public, and with no opportunity for them to make their views heard.
- The petition against the County Council's proposals here has garnered over 300 signatures since Monday 11 November and rising.
- Although the County Council conducted an Equality Impact Assessment, they
 have failed to address how this policy will impact women specifically, nor have
 they consulted Oxford City Council's Safer Streets lead.

Council believes:

- Every resident in Oxfordshire has the right to feel safe in their community.
- Darkened streets create an environment that fosters antisocial behaviour and crime, putting our most vulnerable residents at increased risk.⁶⁷
- The County Council's proposals would have a detrimental and disproportionate impact on women and girls, as well as all those who work unsocial hours including those in the NHS, hospitality, and retail, carers, cyclists, and pedestrians.⁶⁸
- These proposals also disregard public safety concerns raised in the Violence Against Women and Girls agenda, ignoring both actual and perceived risks.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/6194d05bd3bf7f054f43e011/Tackling Violence Against Women and Girls Strategy - July 2021.pdf

⁶⁷ https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/bulletins/perceptionsofpersonalsafe tyandexperiencesofharassmentgreatbritain/2to27june2021

https://popcenter.asu.edu/sites/default/files/137-painterthe impact of street lighting on crime fear an.pdf

f) A City Centre Play Park for Children (Proposed by Cllr. Katherine Miles, Seconded by Cllr. Emily Kerr)

Liberal Democrat Group Motion

There are no children's public play areas within a kilometre's walk of Oxford City Centre, this is despite 87 play areas and recreation grounds in the wider city. ⁷⁰ There is an urgent need to address this lack of public space catering for children in the city centre where 14% of the population⁷¹ is estimated to be aged between 0–14 years old and many more children visit from the wider county and beyond as tourists. Providing a welcoming city centre space for children will be a step for Oxford to become a child friendly and inclusive city in order to promote both child rights and child wellbeing. The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child sets out the principle that governments respect, protect and fulfil the right to play of all children through legislation, planning and budgets. Moreover, child's play is essential for child development and resilience building. Indeed, in mainland Europe, play equipment for all ages of children is an integral part of any public square in city centres. Play space for children also brings commercial benefits for the city, as people with children will see the city centre as a welcoming destination to spend time and money, boosting our local economy. This is because evidence indicates well-planned improvements to public spaces within town centres can boost commercial trading by up to 40 per cent and generate significant private sector investment. 72 Moreover, every £1 invested in an adventure playground generates an estimated £1.32 in social benefit. 73 If public realm improvements are proposed as part of the Central Oxford Movement and Place Framework, there is a golden opportunity to transform the city centre into a welcoming accessible space for children. The lack of child friendly public space can specifically be addressed by the incorporation of an inclusive, accessible play area for children such as in St Giles, and incorporating temporary play features in Broad Street or elsewhere; and gathering feedback on proposals with children of all ages. Doing so will make the city centre a more inclusive public place for children and their caregivers. A recent call for a playspace in the city centre has gathered support from local residents in the form of a petition. Responding to this is an opportunity for the City Council to commit to ensure that the public spaces in the Oxford city centre address the needs of children and are inclusive and child friendly.

Therefore this Council commits to:

- Coordinate with the County Council to seek inclusion of an accessible children's play area in options for the public realm improvements in the city centre as part of the Central Oxfordshire Movement and Place Framework;
- 2. Request that the County Council undertake meaningful engagement with children in its design; and
- Request a report to Cabinet on the option and financial and resource implication
 to the Council of integrating or creating supplementary design guidance on
 planning for children and child's play provision to ensure that the city's urban
 planning policies are child-friendly.

https://www.oxford.gov.uk/info/20229/leisure and sports locations/635/play areas and recreation grounds

https://www.oxford.gov.uk/downloads/file/8288/census 2021 population and household estimates summary report

https://www.designcouncil.org.uk/fileadmin/uploads/dc/Documents/the-value-of-public-space1.pdf https://playwork.foundation/2022/08/22/10-reasons-to-continue-providing-adventure-playgrounds/

g) <u>Drug Consumption Rooms (Proposed by Cllr. Chris Jarvis, Seconded by Cllr. Max Morris) [Amendment proposed by Cllr. Simon Ottino, Seconded by Cllr. Naomi Waite]</u>

Green Group Motion

Council notes

- The number of people dying from drug poisoning has increased significantly across almost all regions of England and Wales between 1993 and 2023.
- 2. Oxford saw 16 drug related deaths in 2022, more than double than in the previous year. ⁷⁵
- 3. Drug consumption rooms aim to prevent drug overdose deaths and connect people who use drugs with addiction treatment and other services.
- 4. In October 2024, the UK's first consumption room for drugs was opened in Glasgow, where people can take their own drugs under the supervision of trained health professionals.⁷⁶
- The Glasgow facility is similar to those found in dozens of other cities across Europe, including Amsterdam, Brussels, Berlin, Luxembourg, Oslo and Paris.
- 6. In January 2022, in response to an address from a member of the public, the then cabinet member for Safer, Healthy Oxford said: 'Evidence such as that from The Society for the Study of Addiction shows that the benefits of drug consumption rooms outweigh the disbenefits', and that 'more work needs to be done in changing the views of the Home Office before agreeing to submit a request for permission to hold a trial'.⁷⁸

Council believes

- 1. Drug addiction and the associated risks should be treated as health, rather than criminal issues.
- 2. The long term solution to many social and health problems caused by drug use will be solved through ending the criminalisation of recreational drugs.
- 3. In the meantime, safe drug consumption rooms are a public health approach which can minimise harm caused by drug use and addiction.
- 4. The election of a new government in Westminster and therefore the appointment of a new home secretary opens fresh opportunities for more evidence based and appropriate policy initiatives on issues like drug use and addiction.

Council resolves

 To request that the leader of the council write to the County Council and request that they support the principle of safe drug consumption rooms as a public health measure for drug addiction and use.

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/deathsrelatedtodrugpoisoninginenglandandwales/2023registrations

https://mycouncil.oxford.gov.uk/documents/s65527/Public%20questions%20and%20addresses%20for%20minutes.docx?CT=2

⁷⁴

https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/24008062.drug-related-deaths-recorded-oxford-last-year/

⁷⁶ https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-66929385

⁷⁷ https://www.euda.europa.eu/publications/mini-guides/health-and-social-responses-drug-consumption-rooms_en

 To request the leader of the council and the cabinet member for safer Oxford write to the home secretary requesting that she allow local authorities - including Oxford - to establish trials of drug consumption rooms.

Labour Group Amendment

Council notes

- 1. The number of people dying from drug poisoning has increased significantly across almost all regions of England and Wales between 1993 and 2023.⁷⁹
- 2. Oxford saw 16 drug related deaths in 2022, more than double than in the previous year.⁸⁰
- 3. Drug consumption rooms aim to prevent drug overdose deaths and connect people who use drugs with addiction treatment and other services.
- 4. In October 2024, the UK's first consumption room for drugs was opened in Glasgow, where people can take their own drugs under the supervision of trained health professionals.⁸¹
- The Glasgow facility is similar to those found in dozens of other cities across Europe, including Amsterdam, Brussels, Berlin, Luxembourg, Oslo and Paris.
- 6. In January 2022, in response to an address from a member of the public, the then cabinet member for Safer, Healthy Oxford said: 'Evidence such as that from The Society for the Study of Addiction shows that the benefits of drug consumption rooms outweigh the disbenefits', and that 'more work needs to be done in changing the views of the Home Office before agreeing to submit a request for permission to hold a trial'.⁸³

Council believes

- 1. Drug addiction and the associated risks should be treated as health, rather than criminal issues.
- 2. The long term solution to many social and health problems caused by drug use will be solved through ending the criminalisation of recreational drugs.
- 3. In the meantime, safe drug consumption rooms are a public health approach which can minimise harm caused by drug use and addiction.
- 4. The election of a new government in Westminster and therefore the appointment of a new home secretary opens fresh opportunities for more evidence based and appropriate policy initiatives on issues like drug use and addiction.

Council resolves

 To request that the leader of the council write to the County Council and request that they discuss with Turning Point the key factors affecting harm minimisation in this City, including possible and practical support for the principle of safe drug consumption rooms as a public health measure for drug addiction and use.

https://mycouncil.oxford.gov.uk/documents/s65527/Public%20questions%20and%20addresses%20for%20minutes.docx?CT=2

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/deathsrelatedtodrugpoisoninginenglandandwales/2023registrations

https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/24008062.drug-related-deaths-recorded-oxford-last-year/

https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-66929385

https://www.euda.europa.eu/publications/mini-guides/health-and-social-responses-drug-consumption-

 To request the leader of the council and the cabinet member for safer Oxford write to the home secretary requesting that she allow local authorities - including Oxfordshire - to establish trials of drug consumption rooms.

If amended, the Motion would read:

Council notes

- 1. The number of people dying from drug poisoning has increased significantly across almost all regions of England and Wales between 1993 and 2023.⁸⁴
- 2. Oxford saw 16 drug related deaths in 2022, more than double than in the previous year.⁸⁵
- 3. Drug consumption rooms aim to prevent drug overdose deaths and connect people who use drugs with addiction treatment and other services.
- In October 2024, the UK's first consumption room for drugs was opened in Glasgow, where people can take their own drugs under the supervision of trained health professionals.⁸⁶
- The Glasgow facility is similar to those found in dozens of other cities across Europe, including Amsterdam, Brussels, Berlin, Luxembourg, Oslo and Paris.⁸⁷
- 6. In January 2022, in response to an address from a member of the public, the then cabinet member for Safer, Healthy Oxford said: 'Evidence such as that from The Society for the Study of Addiction shows that the benefits of drug consumption rooms outweigh the disbenefits', and that 'more work needs to be done in changing the views of the Home Office before agreeing to submit a request for permission to hold a trial'.⁸⁸

Council believes

- 1. Drug addiction and the associated risks should be treated as health, rather than criminal issues.
- 2. The long term solution to many social and health problems caused by drug use will be solved through ending the criminalisation of recreational drugs.
- 3. In the meantime, safe drug consumption rooms are a public health approach which can minimise harm caused by drug use and addiction.
- 4. The election of a new government in Westminster and therefore the appointment of a new home secretary opens fresh opportunities for more evidence based and appropriate policy initiatives on issues like drug use and addiction.

Council resolves

1. To request that the leader of the council write to the County Council and request that they discuss with Turning Point the key factors affecting harm minimisation

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/deathsrelatedtodrugpoisoninginenglandandwales/2023registrations

https://mycouncil.oxford.gov.uk/documents/s65527/Public%20questions%20and%20addresses%20for%20minutes.docx?CT=2

⁸⁴

^{**} https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/24008062.drug-related-deaths-recorded-oxford-last-year/
** https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-66929385

https://www.euda.europa.eu/publications/mini-guides/health-and-social-responses-drug-consumption-

- in this City, including possible and practical support for the principle of safe drug consumption rooms as a public health measure for drug addiction and use.
- 2. To request the leader of the council and the cabinet member for safer Oxford write to the home secretary requesting that she allow local authorities including Oxfordshire to establish trials of drug consumption rooms.

h) <u>Decent and Genuinely Affordable Housing for All (Proposed by Cllr. Linda Smith, Seconded by Cllr. Ed Turner)</u>

Labour Group Motion

Across the UK the shortage of housing⁸⁹ and uncontrolled increases in private sector rents⁹⁰ have led to ballooning costs for temporary accommodation. Those costs are so large that they are not just a threat to other council services, which will have to be cut to meet the bill, but they are putting the very existence of some local authorities in doubt. In Oxford, despite the best efforts of our officers, costs for temporary accommodation have risen five-fold to over £4m, out of a total Council budget of £28.6m.

The watering down of Local Plan housing targets by Rishi Sunak, under pressure from wealthy NIMBY interest groups, means councils (including in Oxfordshire) have been able to dodge their responsibility both to those in need now and to future generations by failing to plan for the homes that are so desperately needed.

Pressures on the budgets of housing associations and cuts to housing budgets in the name of 'austerity' mean that developers are finding it⁹¹ increasingly difficult to find buyers for the affordable homes they are supposed to build, meaning the developments simply aren't starting.

This Council therefore asks that the Leader of the Council writes to the Government to convey that:

- This Council believes that decent and affordable housing for all, where you want to live, is a right and not a privilege.
- This Council therefore applauds the new Government for its immediate reversal
 of cuts to housing numbers in Local Plans, and welcomes the return of strategic
 level planning to ensure that those Plans are delivered, particularly in
 Oxfordshire
- This Council welcomes the Government's approval of the long-overdue reservoir near Abingdon, something necessary to support the provision of new homes.
- This Council further welcomes the Government's commitment to the ending of Section 21 'no fault' evictions, which are a primary cause of the current levels of homelessness.
- This Council calls on the Government to implement as soon as possible powers to control and regulate the short-term let sector to prevent the shift of landlords from the private rented sector to the short term let sector.

⁸⁹ https://www.housingtoday.co.uk/news/england-needs-550000-new-homes-a-year-to-solve-housing-shortage-says-report/5130067.article accessed 14/11/2024

https://cy.ons.gov.uk/economy/inflationandpriceindices/bulletins/privaterentandhousepricesuk/march2024#:~:text=In%20the%2012%20months%20to%20February%202024%2C%20average%20monthly%20rents,by%209.3%25%20in%20Northern%20Ireland. accessed 14/11/2024

⁹¹ https://www.building.co.uk/focus/what-does-the-collapse-in-section-106-demand-mean-for-housing-delivery/5131120.article access 14/11/2024

- This Council calls on the Government to continue to take action to ensure that investment in power, water and sewerage that has already been paid for by customers is delivered with immediate effect
- This Council calls on the Government to review the funding and borrowing rules as they apply to social housing, to ensure that this city, and this country, sees the expansion of social housing construction as soon as possible.

82